READ MY PINS
STORIES FROM A DIPLOMAT'S JEWEL BOX
MADELEINE ALBRIGHT
The United States Capitol, Monet.
See a pin, pick it up,
And all day you’ll have good luck.
See a pin, let it lay,
And your luck will pass away.

—Nursery Rhyme
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INTRODUCTION

In *Six Memos for the Next Millennium*, the great Italian short story–writer Italo Calvino recounts the legend of how the emperor Charlemagne was enchanted by a gold ring. Whoever or whatever possessed the ring held the power of bewitchment, from a deceased maiden to an archbishop and, ultimately, the lake into which the ring was cast. This small piece of jewelry took on magical powers, becoming “an outward and visible sign that reveals the connection between people or between events.” In addition to conveying information about the wearer—her or his status, finances, and affinities—jewelry has an impressive power to establish links among people, places, and events. Madeleine Albright’s pins are nothing if not eloquent and often provocative communicators.

Secretary Albright’s pins cannot be described as a collection in any traditional sense. Collectors usually set out with specific goals in mind as to what they intend to acquire and how they will secure the objects of desire, whether they be paintings, stamps, butterflies, or grandfather clocks. By contrast, Secretary Albright’s collection has grown organically over the years in response to the changing circumstances and opportunities of her life and career. This is a collection that has been amplified and enriched by the events that have engaged their owner, providing a visible record of past experiences and future hopes.

There is a delightful randomness and whimsy to the pins that make up this highly personal assemblage. Sought out in settings ranging from jewelry stores and art galleries to airport souvenir stands and the booths of craft fair vendors, they first spoke to Secretary Albright, asking (sometimes demanding) to be included in her trove of wearable images. Their value as communication devices once recognized, they were then inducted into service as diplomatic aides; sometimes demure and understated, sometimes outlandish and outspoken, they became gentle implements of statecraft.

*Alert Lady, Brit Svenni/Berit Kowalski. According to the designers, “One eye is extra watchful as Madeleine Albright is always alert to the world’s problems.”*

The pins reveal a rich diversity of motifs and images. Angels, stars, balloons, American flags, and spaceships are juxtaposed with a menagerie of birds, bees, butterflies, fish, frogs, turtles, and snakes. A variety of garden flowers, sentimental hearts and bows, and mementos of specific events and holidays round out the collection.

Jewelry buffs typically focus their attention on the preciousness of the materials from which an item is made—gold, silver, rubies, or diamonds—or on the virtuosity of the craftsmanship revealed in its design. Secretary Albright’s pins, however, are for the most part unremarkable in their monetary value and, except for some pieces of antique or fine jewelry, likely to be by anonymous designers, and fabricated from materials ranging from base metals to plastics and glass. Rhinestones and crystal take the lead roles over diamonds, electroplating over solid gold.

Of modest intent and manufacture, Secretary Albright’s pins are of a kind that anyone could possess and wear. These are truly “pins of the people,” and part of Secretary Albright’s pleasure in wearing the pins must come from her recognition of their democratic nature. To assemble so notable a collection of pins takes something much more elusive and significant than money—it takes a magical combination of a collector’s eye, which can spot and home in on its target, and an ability to recognize the communicative potential of what might be deemed ordinary things. Through her pins, Secretary Albright tells us a great deal about herself—her sense of humor and her humanity—and does so with grace and flair.

It is especially gratifying to know that this delightful collection, with its engaging history and purpose, can be shared with so many through this publication and the memorable exhibition it accompanies.

David Revere McFadden
*Chief Curator, Museum of Arts and Design, New York*
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The pin that began it all. *Serpent, designer unknown.*
I. The Serpent’s Tale

The idea of using pins as a diplomatic tool is not found in any State Department manual or in any text chronicling American foreign policy. The truth is that it would never have happened if not for Saddam Hussein.

During President Bill Clinton’s first term (1993–1997), I served as America’s ambassador to the United Nations. This was the period following the first Persian Gulf War, when a U.S.-led coalition rolled back Iraq’s invasion of neighboring Kuwait. As part of the settlement, Iraq was required to accept UN inspections and to provide full disclosure about its nuclear, chemical, and biological weapons programs.

When Saddam Hussein refused to comply, I had the temerity to criticize him. The government-controlled Iraqi press responded by publishing a poem entitled “To Madeleine Albright, Without Greetings.” The author, in the opening verse, establishes the mood: “Albright, Albright, all right, all right, you are the worst in this night.” He then conjures up an arresting visual image: “Albright, no one can block the road to Jerusalem with a frigate, a ghost, or an elephant.” Now thoroughly warmed up, the poet refers to me as an “unmatched clamor-maker” and an “unparalleled serpent.”

In October 1994, soon after the poem was published, I was scheduled to meet with Iraqi officials. What to wear?

Years earlier, I had purchased a pin in the image of a serpent. I’m not sure why, because I loathe snakes. I shudder when I see one slithering through the grass on my farm in Virginia. Still, when I came across the serpent pin in a favorite shop in Washington, D.C., I couldn’t resist. It’s a small piece, showing the reptile coiled around a branch, a tiny diamond hanging from its mouth.

While preparing to meet the Iraqis, I remembered the pin and decided to wear it. I didn’t consider the gesture a big deal and doubted that the Iraqis even made the connection. However, upon leaving the meeting, I encountered a member of the UN press corps who was familiar with the poem; she asked why I had chosen to wear that particular pin. As the television cameras zoomed in on the brooch, I smiled and said that it was just my way of sending a message.

A second pin, this of a blue bird, reinforced my approach. As with the snake pin, I had purchased it because of its intrinsic appeal, without any extraordinary use in mind. Until the twenty-fourth of February 1996, I wore the pin with the bird’s head soaring upward. On the afternoon of that tragic day, Cuban fighter pilots shot down two unarmed civilian aircraft over international waters between Cuba and Florida. Three American citizens and one legal resident were killed. The Cubans knew they were attacking civilian planes yet gave no warning, and in the official transcripts they boasted about destroying the cojones of their victims.
I used blunt words to express anger and sadness when, in 1996, airplanes carrying four Cuban-American fliers were shot down off the coast of Florida. My blue bird pin reflected my mood.

At a press conference, I denounced both the crime and the perpetrators. I was especially angered by the macho celebration at the time of the killings. “This is not cojones,” I said, “it is cowardice.” To illustrate my feelings, I wore the bird pin with its head pointing down, in mourning for the free-spirited Cuban-American fliers. Because my comment departed from the niceties of normal diplomatic discourse, it caused an uproar in New York and Washington; for the same reason, it was welcomed in Miami. As a rule, I prefer polite talk, but there are moments when only plain speaking will do.
between are such instruments as diplomacy, economic sanctions, foreign aid, and trade. Compared to these, the brooch or pin may seem trivial.

COURTESY OF PRESIDENT GEORGE H.W. BUSH

Sun, Steinmetz Diamonds.

I do not claim too much, but I do believe the right symbol at the correct time can add warmth or needed edge to a relationship. A foreign dignitary standing alongside me at a press conference would be happier to see a bright, shining sun attached to my jacket than a menacing wasp. I felt it worthwhile, moreover, to inject an element of humor and spice into the diplomatic routine. The world has had its share of power ties; the time seemed right for the mute eloquence of pins with attitude.

Crystal fly, Christian Dior;

green and blue rhinestone bees, Ciner;

turquoise bee, Walter Lampl;

golden bee, St. John Knits.
Pins need not cost a king’s or queen’s ransom to be fun. These gifts from a friend were less than three dollars each.

*Leopard and reptile print purses, AJMC; Ruby Slippers, AJC;*

*other designers unknown.*

*Petit Oiseau, Jacqueline Lecarme.*

Because many of my predecessors had beards and none was known to wear a skirt, my use of pins to send a message was something new in American diplomacy. The role of jewelry in world affairs, however, began in ancient times. Throughout history, jewelry has played a supporting role in the rise and fall of empires. Although I might display to the world my less-than-extravagant pins, the global audience has long gaped in amazement at the stunning ornamentation of royal necks, waists, wrists, arms, and ankles—and at the accompanying crowns, thrones, scepters, and swords. To the victor go the spoils, and often those spoils have glistened with the radiance of diamonds or the soft glow of emeralds.

Although monarchs typically tried to hoard their treasure, the demands of politics often prompted them to make use of it. Early diplomatic practices included the exchange of ornamental gifts between one head of state and another, the gift of jewelry to cement marriages that brought two nations into alliance, and the flaunting of riches for the purpose of engendering awe.
Consider, for example, the story of one of the first international power couples: Marc Antony and Queen Cleopatra. According to the near-contemporary account of Pliny the Elder, Cleopatra wagered with Antony that she could spend an extravagant amount of wealth on a single dinner. He accepted the bet. The following night, she served a meal of conventional dishes, to which he responded with triumphant disdain. Smiling at the vanity of her Roman suitor, Cleopatra ordered the next course to be brought in: a single cup of strong vinegar. Deftly removing one of her priceless pearl earrings, the queen dropped it into the vinegar, causing the gem to dissolve.

Antony and Cleopatra at table. The pearl is about to drop. The Banquet of Marc Antony and Cleopatra, by Francesco Trevisani (1656–1746).

Byzantine shield, Ilias Lalaounis

circle of pearls, Craft.

A millennium later and several thousand miles to the north, a less elegant effort to connect the fates of two kingdoms was attempted. Olaf Tryggvason, the warrior king of Norway, set out to woo Sigrid, the comely queen of Sweden. Both had had previous romantic entanglements. Olaf had murdered a local rival named Iron Beard, claiming his victim’s daughter for a wife. The daughter, displeased with the arrangement, spoiled the honeymoon by stabbing Olaf in bed. Divorce ensued. For her part, Sigrid had grown weary of two boorish suitors. One night, she allowed the duo to drink themselves into unconsciousness before locking the beer hall and torching the building. Thereafter, the queen was known as Sigrid the Strong-minded.

Bird with pearl, Bettina von Walhof.

The betrothal of Olaf and Sigrid made sense diplomatically at a time and in a region where those without allies rarely prospered. Thus the queen was willing and the king eager enough to send his prospective bride a beautiful gold ring. Sigrid’s fancy, though, was of the type bred more in head than in heart. She promptly sent the ring to her goldsmiths for appraisal. The experts had only to lift the object to know there was something rotten in Norway; sure enough, the gold band on the outside concealed copper on the inside. In diplomacy, as in love, cheapskates rarely
prosper. Olaf’s ring was rejected, and Sigrid married the king of Denmark.

![Castle, LJ.](image1)

![Indian elephant, DeNicola.](image2)

From early times, the rulers of India were encouraged to accumulate stockpiles of jewels to enhance their reputations and to outpace potential rivals. They did so not only through taxation but also through wars of conquest, supported by plunder. It is unsurprising, therefore, that the word “loot” is derived from a Hindi verb (lūt). When, in 1293, a Venetian traveler visited the king of Malabar in southern India, he found a man so wealthy that even his loincloth (set with emeralds, sapphires, and rubies) was worth a fortune. During the Mogul Empire, the men in a maharaja’s court wore ornate necklaces, bracelets, and rings; the court’s horses and elephants were outfitted with golden tassels and helmets, jewel-laden saddles and anklets, and—in the case of elephants—gold bands around their tusks.

Such wealth did not go unnoticed by foreign visitors. The capitals of Renaissance Europe possessed dazzling assets but lacked one vital ingredient: an indigenous source of gems. The desire to establish a reliable supply line was a major contributor to the Age of Exploration. Christopher Columbus sailed west in search of the mysterious East, inspired by his heavily annotated copy of Marco Polo’s journal, which promised mighty palaces “all roofed with the finest gold.” Though he discovered no golden roofs, Columbus nevertheless thought he had reached Asia; sailors less eager for a shortcut actually did. Guiding their fleets around the Cape of Good Hope, these merchant adventurers established their presence along India’s coast.
Giving an important speech on Bosnia at the Intrepid Sea, Air, and Space Museum in New York, 1997. I wore a fleur-de-lis, then a part of Bosnia’s state flag. As is evident from the Queen Mother’s crown (below), the fleur-de-lis was also popular among European royalty.
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Rhinestone fleur-de-lis, designer unknown; gold fleur-de-lis, Sofie.

COURTESY OF THE ROYAL COLLECTION © 2009 HER MAJESTY QUEEN ELIZABETH II

State crown featuring the famous Koh-i-Noor diamond. Courtesy of the Royal Collection, Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II.

For several centuries, European traders competed for the favor of the subcontinent’s leading families. The difficulty was how to bribe rulers who were already so rich. For a time, Portuguese merchants had the advantage because their offerings were novel: Colombian emeralds and Mozambican gold, amber, and ivory. Frustrated suitors eventually realized, however, that gift-giving is not the only means of persuasion.

By the start of the nineteenth century, the power of the Mogul dynasty was waning just as Great Britain’s might was waxing. The ambition of Queen Victoria, the increased strength of Her Majesty’s navy, and the skill and aggression of English traders forced India into a role it never wanted: the jewel in the British Empire’s crown. The decisive blow came when, in 1849, the East India Trading Company gained control of Lahore, capital of Punjab. Most prominent among the riches claimed by the company and forwarded as a tribute to the Queen was an enormous diamond, the incomparable Koh-i-Noor, or “mountain of light.”
In the British capital, crowds rushed to see the Koh-i-Noor, but many Londoners were disappointed at its seeming lack of brilliance. Similarly unimpressed, the Queen’s consort, Prince Albert, ordered the piece recut. In little more than a month, the craftsmen produced a beautiful shallow oval. Victoria subsequently wore the polished diamond in a brooch, in a tiara, and as the center of a diadem fashioned by Garrard, the crown jeweler. The gem was later placed in a Maltese cross at the front of the crown of Queen Elizabeth—known to my generation as the Queen Mum—and displayed at her state funeral in the spring of 2002.

The British crown jewels exemplify the connection between perceptions of national glory and the appreciation of valuable stones. This linkage transcends the borders of time, religion, geography, and culture. A traveler today, with sufficient time and the right access, could view everything from the large Manchurian pearls of China’s Qing dynasty to the treasures of the pharaohs, from the crown jewels of Ethiopia to the imperial possessions of the Holy Roman and Austro-Hungarian empires.

In my own travels, I have visited the Tower of London, the Hermitage in Saint Petersburg, the Museum of Egyptian Antiquities in Cairo, the National History Museum of Romania, and the Louvre, where what little remains of the French crown jewels is on display. The majority of the French pieces were either lost in the Revolution or sold later to discourage attempts at restoring the Bourbon dynasty. As one radical parliamentarian exclaimed, “Without a crown, no need for a king.”

The United States, of course, has never desired a crowned head and thus has no crown jewels—though the Smithsonian Institution has the Hope diamond and other extraordinary gems. Early Americans provided proof that jewelry is not the province solely of royalty. American Indians were skilled at fashioning white, purple, and black beads out of the shells of periwinkles and clams. The beads, known as wampum, were used to record treaties and for other purposes both spiritual and practical. Like a royal crown, beaded headpieces, necklaces, and belts were employed by American tribes to connote leadership status; as with other jewels, wampum might be exchanged to acquire goods, express friendship, pay reparations, or facilitate peace.

For the New World’s European settlers, wampum served as legal tender alongside the coins brought from their homelands. Ever alert for ways to push the natives aside, the settlers learned quickly that the more wampum they accumulated, the easier it would be to buy local land. In the most famous transaction, Peter Minuit, an employee of the Dutch West India Company, purchased Manhattan and later Staten Island for a modest amount of wampum, fabric, and farming implements. The Norwalk Indians accepted a comparable bargain in Connecticut, selling much of what is now Fairfield County.

As these examples suggest, jewelry has played a colorful part in the evolution of world affairs. Because precious stones tend to inspire both admiration and greed, leaders have found convenient excuses for seeking them and have used them to impress crowds, reward friends, deprive foes, forge alliances, and justify war. Jewels may find their highest expression in the decorative arts, but they have also earned a place in the art of the possible.
The role of jewelry in politics first touched my life at an early age. I was eight when my father served as ambassador from our native Czechoslovakia to Yugoslavia, then headed by Marshal Tito, a formidable dictator. During a diplomatic ceremony in Belgrade, my mother was invited to sit in an anteroom with the wives of two other ambassadors. Suddenly, the door opened and a Yugoslav fighter dressed in faded fatigues strode in bearing a silver tray. On the tray were three velvet boxes; in each was a ring made from the appropriate birthstone. The box presented to my mother—she was born in May—revealed an emerald surrounded by fourteen diamonds. We called it Tito’s ring, and when my father first saw it, he growled, “I wonder whose finger they cut off to get this.” Both my parents spoke of the contrast between the pomp and extravagance of the Yugoslav regime and the desperate poverty that plagued the country’s people in those first years after World War II. Sometimes the finest jewelry is accompanied by moral complexity; there was no diplomatic way to return the gift. Instead, my parents waited until I had passed the orals for my Ph.D., then gave the ring to me.

Dignitaries gathered from around the world to attend the funeral of Yugoslav strongman Marshal Tito in Belgrade, 1980. I was standing off to the left, outside of the picture.
In the late 1970s, I worked for Zbigniew Brzezinski, national security advisor to President Jimmy Carter. Part of my job was to report each morning on international developments that might warrant the president’s attention. The death of a major foreign leader, such as Tito, fit that description. After months of reporting that Tito was ill; then gravely ill; possibly deceased; and then still alive, I was able to confirm that Tito was undeniably and reliably dead. Vice President Walter Mondale led the U.S. delegation to the funeral, and I—because of my childhood association with Yugoslavia—was invited to come along. After three decades, the moment was finally right to wear Tito’s ring.

I was born in Prague, capital of Czechoslovakia, which later split into the Czech Republic and Slovakia. Both countries remain close to my heart. President Václav Havel, hero of the Velvet Revolution, is among the people I most admire. The art nouveau pins, opposite, are based on designs by Alphonse Mucha, a famed artist and Slavic nationalist of the early twentieth century. At right is the Order of the White Lion, an award I received, in 1997, from Havel and the Czech government.
Bird, Iradj Moini.
II. Wings

In the fall of 1955, I enrolled at Wellesley, a women’s college ensconced comfortably within one of the more distant and bucolic suburbs of Boston. The fifties were a period of transition for American women, and although the curriculum at Wellesley was modern, some of the customs were not. Many of my classmates arrived on campus as I did, decked out in the style of the day—with a camel-hair coat, Shetland sweater, Bermuda shorts, circle pin, and a single strand of pearls. Early on, we were sent to the physical education department to pose for what was called a posture picture. This was to see whether we had “an understanding of good body alignment and the ability to stand well.” To ensure accuracy, we were not allowed to wear any clothing above the waist. If we flunked, we were made to do exercises. I always wondered what happened to the pictures, until a few years ago, when they were discovered in a vault…at Yale.

Wearing my mother’s ring. High school photo, 1955.

Wellesley women were, on the whole, excellent students, and many went on to have stellar careers. At the time, however, thoughts of history and philosophy competed with chemistry of a nonacademic sort. The majority of us hoped to be engaged before we graduated. According to the tradition, one became “pinned” while a junior and engaged as a senior before receiving—on the afternoon of commencement day—a diploma at two o’clock and a wedding ring at four. Today, young women are more likely to get pierced than pinned, but back then we viewed the pinning ritual with great seriousness. A boy gave his fraternity pin to a girl, thereby pledging both affection and fidelity. When the girl wore the pin, on a blouse above her heart, she advertised that she was spoken for. The arrangement brought a couple’s standing to a new and higher plane: more than dating, not always formally engaged.

Alumnae leaf, Wellesley College.

The pin from Theta Delta Xi

As a singularly mature and independent Wellesley woman, I was not the type to get married the same afternoon I
graduated. Instead, I waited three days. I had met Joe Albright, my future husband, right on schedule in the summer between my sophomore and junior years; we both had jobs at the Denver Post. Joe, a fledgling reporter, was handsome in a tweedy way, but I kept my distance until verifying that the gold band on his finger was only a class ring. That issue resolved, we were immediately smitten, and within weeks Joe had proposed, offering his Theta Delta Xi pin to cement the deal. I was in heaven—but also in trouble, because I had another boyfriend, who knew nothing about Joe. Until I summoned the courage to break old ties, I kept Joe’s pin out of sight, wearing it on my bra instead of my blouse. At first, only my sister, Kathy, knew of our plans for marriage. When Joe and I eventually told my parents, my father congratulated him for “pinning Madeleine down.”

My circle pin.

Returning to Wellesley for my junior year, I virtually floated into the assembly hall at convocation wearing a red sweater accented by Joe’s pin. My friends squealed appreciatively, and I promptly shared most, but not all, of the details of my summer romance and future plans. I quickly learned, though, that getting pinned and staying pinned were separate challenges. That winter, Joe had second thoughts about his career and decided that an early marriage might prove a hindrance. When he disclosed his thinking, I was dumbfounded and began taking my pin off slowly, hoping Joe would stop me. He didn’t, so I dropped the formerly precious object in his lap, whereupon he stood up, opened the window, and tossed the pin away. By the next morning, Joe had experienced third thoughts. Arriving at my room to accompany me to breakfast, he brought with him the keepsake he had tramped out into the snowy New England night to retrieve.

COLLECTION OF THE AUTHOR


The following summer, Joe presented me with an antique emerald-and-diamond engagement ring he had bought in London. I loved it because it was beautiful but also because it was different. Other girls had engagement rings; I had this engagement ring. As I had discovered well after I had fallen in love with Joe, his family was socially prominent in both Chicago and New York. During our engagement, Joe’s grandmother gave me a gorgeous antique jade pin; it was slender and long, decorated with a carved dragon. When Joe’s sister Alice saw me wearing it, she looked as if she had swallowed a lemon. She told me later that she had first seen the piece while shopping with her grandmother and had pronounced it lovely. Naturally, she thought the dragon would one day come to her. I felt guilty—but not so much as to part with the pin.

COLLECTION OF THE AUTHOR

Feather, designer unknown.
My wedding present from Joe was a fish pin with an emerald eye and ruby scales; on the back was the symbol for infinity. This hopeful hint of timelessness did not turn out to be apt, as our marriage ended in divorce after twenty-three years. In that time, I received an occasional gift but rarely shopped for jewelry myself. This was because women were expected to get their finery from men and because I was busy raising three children. I also never thought of the family money as mine to spend. The gifts, however, were much appreciated. From my grandmother-in-law (if there is such a thing), I received a second pin, this one feather-shaped, gold, with rubies. Joe’s Uncle Harry Guggenheim gave my twin daughters little seed pearl hearts. Joe himself bought me a lapis lazuli turtle pin, a small brooch of a spray of violets, and a necklace of irregular pearls from Saudi Arabia that I wore all the time. There were also little gifts of trinkets and beads that were pretty but not built to last.
and a gift from my parents, a Bohemian garnet set with detachable pendant/pin.

The piece of jewelry that meant the most to me, then as now, was created by Katie, my youngest daughter. It is a heart-shaped pin, composed of clay, presented to me on Valentine’s Day when Katie was five. I have often worn it since. The pin reflects one of the indispensable purposes of jewelry: to bind families together and connect one generation to the next. When I was a child, my sole treasures were a ring—a gold band with a single small diamond—that my mother had worn and a gold cross that I remember never being without. On my wedding day, my parents gave me a garnet set (a necklace, pin, bracelet, and earrings), featuring the Czechoslovak national stone. Usually, the cherished family gifts go from the elder to the younger, but as was the case with Katie’s valentine, sometimes the giving goes the other way round.

My most cherished jewelry: A heart pin made by Katie

After Joe’s Aunt Alicia Patterson Guggenheim died, my daughters and I received a small share of her jewelry. This included a beautiful pink tourmaline heart and a diamond-and-sapphire poppy pin with matching earrings. There was also a pair of earrings with little pearls and a jade fish on the end that were meant to go with the jade dragon pin I had been given earlier. Although I adored these pieces, I so feared losing them that I rarely wore them. In any case, showy jewelry made me uncomfortable. Because of the social status of Joe’s family, he had been considered the perfect escort for Chicago’s well-bred young ladies, taking them to debutante balls and similar high society affairs. Suddenly he began to appear with me. Having nothing suitable to wear, I sewed a dark-red velvet dress to go with the garnets I had received from my parents. It had a tight waist and quite a low neckline so the garnets would show. I still have the dress as a reminder both of the evening and of the years when—for me—a tight waist was possible.

My life changed when Joe and I moved to Washington in the early 1960s. Jacqueline Kennedy, with her affinity for Givenchy and Oleg Cassini gowns and Schlumberger jewelry, was bringing unprecedented glamour to the nation’s capital and America’s global image. She wore diamonds to Paris, pearls to India, and bangles everywhere. Jackie, as she was called, was recognized as a fashion trendsetter, known by millions for her jewelry, handbags, hats, and hair. This was also the era of such spectacular movie icons as Elizabeth Taylor, Audrey Hepburn, and Marilyn Monroe, who, when singing “Diamonds Are a Girl’s Best Friend,” embodied the stereotype of a woman willing to be possessed but only in return for possessions.

Still, even in the swinging sixties, status in Washington was determined more by power than by glitter. My husband and I socialized with other young couples turned on by the promise and politics of the Kennedy administration. The men in our group mostly had jobs in government or as journalists; the women were active with
children and social causes.

The jewelry worn by the wives in our circle consisted primarily of engagement and wedding rings, the occasional pearl necklace, and earrings that were generally nondescript but sometimes op art or pop art. We thought of jewelry as a traditional and fun means of adornment that was paid for by (usually male) acquaintances or that came to us through family ties. A fancier or more expensive item might make some statement about how much a husband could afford, but it was not a declaration of any depth about the woman wearing it.

Following my divorce in 1983, I found myself tapping into another sort of tradition. By then, I had completed my education and started out in politics. I had begun drawing a salary of my own working for a U.S. senator, Edmund S. Muskie of Maine, and then in the White House under Jimmy Carter, in whose honor I wore a pin shaped like a Georgia peanut. After that, I followed in my father’s footsteps, becoming a university professor. Though devastated when my marriage fell apart, I soon found my own spirit and voice. From that time on, when my mind turned to jewelry or clothes, I thought less about the expectations of others and more about my own sense of identity and pride.
My experiences, of course, were hardly unique. Women have been striding toward independence for many generations. In Great Britain in the early twentieth century, supporters of the suffragette movement wore medals or brooches in the shades of green, white, and violet—signifying, respectively, hope, purity, and dignity. Not coincidentally, the initial letters of the colors (G, W, V) suggested an acronym: “Give Women the Vote!” In the United States, suffragettes were equally flamboyant, greeting Woodrow Wilson’s inauguration with an 8,000-person march down Pennsylvania Avenue led by a woman dressed as Joan of Arc and seated on a white horse. During Wilson’s second term, activists who were thrown into jail for picketing were given a distinctive Jailed for Freedom brooch, produced by the indomitable women’s-rights advocate Alice Paul. The pin displayed a prison door with a chain and heart-shaped padlock. In 1920, shortly before Wilson left office, the Nineteenth Amendment to the Constitution was ratified, and women were finally accorded the opportunity to vote in federal elections.

The silver Jailed for Freedom pin was awarded to suffragettes who were imprisoned after picketing in front of the White House in 1917. Jailed for Freedom, Nina Evans Allender and Alice Paul. Courtesy of Smithsonian Institution National Museum of American History.

In my case, I didn’t have a special color, didn’t dress as Saint Joan, didn’t go to jail, and didn’t think of myself as belonging to a movement. Instead, I was in my mid-forties and venturing from marriage into—for the first time—the status of a fully grown, unattached adult. Although medals, ribbons, T-shirts, hats, and, I suppose, tattoos were optional means of expression, I found myself frequently turning to pins or brooches. I preferred them to necklaces because a perfectly presentable pin is less expensive than a comparable necklace. I also preferred pins because for years I did not want to wear a ring. In fact, the only one I felt comfortable wearing was purchased in the Philippines and made of black onyx. My thinking at the time was that every divorced woman should wear a black ring.

The fashions of the 1980s have been described as postfeminist, which was fine with me since I had largely missed out on the earlier phases. The idea was that a woman could show independence from stereotypes without eschewing ornamentation; it was no longer thought essential to dress plainly in order to be taken seriously or to imply that wearing earrings made one unable to think. Since women were making inroads in business and the professions, power jackets and pantsuits came into style. The brooch was a natural accompaniment.

During my first decade of postmarital independence, I taught world affairs at Georgetown University and advised presidential candidates, most of whom lost. I also dated and shopped quite a bit. This is when I discovered the Tiny Jewel Box, a boutique situated on Connecticut Avenue in Washington’s busiest commercial district. The store is actually more narrow than tiny. It advertises itself as “six intimate floors filled with treasures from around the world, each one hand-selected with an eye for the truly unique.”

I have spent many an afternoon wandering about the Tiny Jewel Box’s displays of antique pieces (typically bought from estates) and newer items by hot designers. My motives at the outset were entirely pure; I marched through the doors intent on selecting a necklace or brooch to give to a relative or friend in celebration of some event. If the occasion were a wedding, I might also decide to buy something elegant to wear at the ceremony; if a lesser event, a bauble to match a dress.
Before long, I accepted that it was okay to shop with my own needs and desires in mind. Thus, when my eye was attracted to a serpent pin, I did not hesitate to buy it; this was the pin that would later launch my use of brooches as a diplomatic tool.

The sheaf of wheat is a symbol of abundance and health. This pin was given to me upon my return to Georgetown University after my time as secretary of state. *Sheaf of wheat, Tiffany & Co.*
In a celebratory mood at Katie’s wedding, joined by daughters Alice and Anne, and my three sons-in-law, Greg Bowes (holding grandson David), Jake Schatz, and Geoff Watson. Grapes, Tiny Jewel Box.

Late in 1992, President-elect Clinton asked me to serve as America’s ambassador to the United Nations. During the Cold War, the UN Security Council had been frozen by rivalry between East and West. The Council could only act when the superpowers agreed, and they did not agree often or about very much. When I arrived, three years after the collapse of the Berlin Wall, relations had thawed and the Council had new life. Instead of the big powers preventing cooperative action, they were asking the world body to take on jobs no country wanted to do alone. This had important implications for international law—and for my wardrobe.

My friend Jeane Kirkpatrick gave me good advice before my move to New York.

Before leaving for New York, I consulted with my colleague at Georgetown, Jeane Kirkpatrick, who had been UN ambassador when Ronald Reagan was president. Kirkpatrick gave me one piece of advice: “Lose the professor clothes.” Until then, I had been a student, mother, government staffer, and teacher; this was to be my first prolonged experience in the limelight. I spent time trying on outfits in various Washington boutiques; soon I also confirmed what no one has ever doubted: New York offers boundless opportunities to shop. As a friend from the Big Apple told me, “The only real difference between a human being and other mammals is our ability to accessorize.”

This pin was made from fragments of the Berlin Wall in 1989, the year the Wall was brought down. Berlin Wall, Gisela Geiger.

Surrounded by men at a meeting of the Group of Eight (G-8) Foreign Ministers. In the pin below, which represents the G-8, gender is not an issue.

I had expected my initial Security Council meeting to be in the huge room with the horseshoe table that is frequently seen on television, but that chamber is generally reserved for formal sessions. The space used for routine meetings—where much of the real work is done—was no bigger than the college seminar rooms I had just left. The similarity reminded me of what I had frequently told my female students: Do not be afraid to interrupt. A woman usually prefers to size up a situation before speaking, but for America’s UN ambassador, silence was not an option. So I squeezed my way into the cramped space, sat down, and, when the opportunity arose, plunged in.

G-8 pin, designer unknown.
From that day forward, I attracted attention because I represented the United States and was the only woman on the Council. With so many eyes on me, I didn’t want to worry about my appearance. This prompted me to pay added heed to how I looked and gradually to acquire new pins to make my clothes more interesting. Because every activity at the United Nations has a political aspect, one of my signature themes was Americana.

New York’s famed Pier Antiques Show is held periodically on the far West Side of midtown, in a sprawling building overlooking the Hudson River. Collectors and dealers from around the world are on hand, and whenever I could arrange my schedule, so was I. For seekers of high-quality costume jewelry, this was the equivalent of the Promised Land. Amid the crowd of shoppers, I moved from booth to booth, looking, touching, inquiring about prices, and—as one quickly learns to do in New York—using my elbows. One year, after surveying my options, I selected an eagle brooch manufactured by the distinguished American firm Trifari; it was enameled in red, white, and blue and set with rhinestones. Nearby, I came across an Uncle Sam’s hat, also by Trifari, in a similar style. Both were made of enameled metal, and both dated from the 1940s. Either seemed suitable for America’s UN ambassador, but I found the best effect came when I wore the two together, with the hat tilted at a rakish angle, seemingly atop the eagle’s head.

![Sailor, Monet.](image)

![Overseas, accompanied by officers from the U.S. Air Force.](image)

![French president Jacques Chirac practicing the art of diplomacy.](image)

I also purchased a large American flag pin that I have since grown accustomed to wearing on the Fourth of July and other festive occasions. For funerals, to which I have been too often, I picked up a tricolor memorial bow.

The manufacture of costume jewelry with a patriotic theme flourished in the United States during and immediately after World War II. All the symbols I love—eagles, flags, drums, trumpets, and rousing slogans—were in vogue. The pieces were worn by noncombatants to signify support for the war effort and bought by sailors and soldiers to leave with sweethearts before taking up arms across the sea. Many of the pins came in the colors of the U.S. flag and continued selling after the war (except for those in red, which fell out of favor because of the color’s association with Communism).
One of the reasons I appreciate costume jewelry is that it can delight the eye and still spare the pocketbook. The modern woman needs to be able to experiment with a look and try different ideas. Given my height (five foot two), I had always assumed small pins were best for me, but soon I began to buy pieces that—although not costly—were bigger, bolder, and sometimes even crazier. To my surprise, I found that the look I preferred was more on the dramatic side than the demure.

American flag, Ann Hand;

brave heart, Swarovski;

AIDS ribbon, designer unknown;

heart with donkey, designer unknown;

heart stickpin, reproduction, The Metropolitan Museum of Art;

French ribbon bow, Silson;

patriotic bow, Carolee;
Statue of Liberty, designer unknown;

safety-pin American flag, designer unknown;

small American flag, designer unknown;

large Fight for Freedom torch, DMW;

WWII ribbon, Silson;

Stars and Stripes, Ciner;

small Fight for Freedom torch, DMW.
I wore my Chinese shard dragon pin when testifying before Congress concerning U.S.-China relations.

As my pins became more expressive and drew more comments, I had cause to reflect on the relationship between appearance and identity. To what extent, to adapt the old saying, do pins make the woman or, for that matter, the man? After all, the display of pins has never been confined to one gender. Medieval knights wore elaborate jeweled badges that defined their status and conferred a group identity. A fourteenth-century English lad could have no higher aspiration than to advertise a connection to the royal family by embellishing his cloak with the Order of the Garter’s radiant star. Conspirators on all sides in the English Civil War used pins, rings, and lockets to signal their loyalties to friends without tipping off their enemies. George Washington sometimes wore a spectacular diamond eagle, based on a design by Pierre L’Enfant and given to him by the French Navy, that included no fewer than 198 precious stones. Pottery pioneer Josiah Wedgwood, Washington’s contemporary, manufactured a medallion to be worn by opponents of the slave trade. Exquisitely carved, the cameo showed a black man in chains with the question, “Am I not a man and a brother?”
In our own day, security experts rely on coded pins to identify people who are cleared to enter a particular area while excluding those who are not. Members of Congress are given pins so that they might avoid being stopped by guards while en route to their offices or the legislative floor. Clubs and lodges typically use badges (along with
secret handshakes) to enable fellow members to recognize a common bond. Voters display pins to demonstrate allegiance to political candidates or causes. My own loyalties could be seen in a pin labeled “ESM,” identifying me as an early backer of U.S. Senator Edmund S. Muskie; a “Solidarity” clip that, in 1981, I was given by anti-Communist activists in Poland; a pink ribbon for the race to cure breast cancer; a red dress for women’s heart health; and a saxophone pin evoking our one and only sax-playing chief executive, Bill Clinton.

Susan G. Komen for the Cure breast cancer ribbon.

Finally, our armed forces also use pins—in the form of ribbons and medals—to convey messages about accomplishments, stature, and rank. I was reminded of this during my time as UN ambassador.

Harry Truman was president when my family arrived in America. Since then I have participated in many political campaigns and worn my share of buttons, including these featuring Truman and five other presidents.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

With General John Shalikashvili, who succeeded Colin Powell as chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. In the background are Janet Langhart Cohen and Secretary of Defense Bill Cohen. It was Janet who designed the eagle and dove pin on the opposite page, celebrating NATO’s fiftieth anniversary.

In 1993, the chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff was General Colin Powell. We were both members of the Clinton national security team and sat across from each other at the long rectangular table in the White House Situation Room. Although we saw eye to eye on many controversies, we did not agree on whether the United States and NATO should intervene to stop ethnic cleansing in the former Yugoslav Republic of Bosnia. I thought we should act and listed the reasons why; General Powell expressed doubts and listed the reasons why not. The dilemma for me was that although I had my patriotic pins, he had a chest full of well-earned medals. He was fresh from victory in the first Gulf War and cut a dashing figure in his uniform; I was fresh from my classrooms at Georgetown and, even in my best suit, resembled something of a dumpling (this was before I began working out).

As a civilian and a woman, I did not feel comfortable challenging the wisdom of such a true American hero, but I also knew that I had not been given a chair in the Situation Room to imitate a potted plant. I took my own advice and interrupted, arguing that the United States had an urgent interest in halting the slaughter of innocent people in the Balkans. Using a pointer and slides, Powell made clear his own expectation that the potential costs of such an effort would far outweigh the benefits. For months, we were at a stalemate; the administration did nothing, and, as the killing continued, I grew frustrated and at one meeting finally let loose.
“Colin,” I asked, “what are you saving this superb military for, if we can’t use it?” In his autobiography, Powell wrote that my question almost gave him an aneurysm and that he was compelled to explain to me—patiently—the appropriate role of the U.S. armed forces. In retrospect, I am willing to concede that the general was right to be cautious, right to ask questions, right to consider alternatives, and right to worry about the facile assumptions of civilian leaders. However, I was right about Bosnia, where NATO did eventually intervene and as a result saved thousands of lives.

Although I love pins, they have in common with necklaces and bracelets one complication: the clasp. Thus it has ever been. The earliest pins were less ornamental than functional. Primitive hunter-gatherers used thorns or sharp
pieces of flint to keep their clothes from falling off while they ran around in pursuit of lunch. As civilization
progressed from the Stone Age to the Bronze and Iron eras, pieces of metal began to serve the same essential
purpose. From there, it was only a short step to the use of rare ores and gemstones that combined the fastening with
the alluring. Royal burial sites in Ur, home city of the patriarch Abraham, included gold and silver pins—some
topped by lapis lazuli beads—that would have been used to secure robes at the shoulder.

The concept of the safety pin—in which a needle-like shaft, a hinge, and a sheath combine to secure an object—
dates back to ancient Crete, the home turf of Theseus and his ill-tempered Minotaur. Metalsmiths in pre-Christian
Etruria (present-day Tuscany) skillfully shaped such pins into the form of lions, horses, or the Sphinx before adding
the frosting: tiny granules of gold. The brooch-clip, which clenches the fabric rather than piercing it, has been used
widely since the 1930s. I cite this history to prove that I could not possibly have been the first person to be publicly
embarrassed by a pin that came undone in a moment of need.

In December 1996, President Clinton nominated me to serve as America’s sixty-fourth secretary of state. For the
announcement, I wore one of my pins as a pendant. Liberty Eagle, Ann Hand.
On January 23, 1997, shortly before noon, I was sworn in as secretary of state, the first woman to hold that position. Ever since, people have asked what I was feeling at the time. The answer is that my attention was divided between the drama of the moment and the possibility that my pin would fall off, landing on the floor in front of President Clinton and the assembled cameras. I had been introduced to the pin weeks earlier at the Tiny Jewel Box. Jim Rosenheim, one of the proprietors, brought it to me as soon as I walked in, saying he had acquired the piece with me in mind. The brooch is antique, French, and composed of rose-cut diamonds and a gold eagle with widespread wings. It was love at first sight, but I balked at the cost. Saying no to Jim, I inwardly promised to reverse that decision should I be named secretary of state, then a possibility but hardly a likelihood.

When that possibility became reality, I bought the eagle and chose to wear it for the first time at the swearing in. What I failed to notice was that the clasp was not only old but also complicated; fastening it was a multistep process that I neglected to complete. All seemed well until I had one hand on the Bible and the other in the air. Then, a glance down revealed the pin hanging sideways. With all the hubbub, I had no time to correct the problem until after most of the photos were taken, showing my beautiful pin only in profile, contributing nothing to the symbolism of the moment but much to my angst. Years later, when publishing my memoirs, I tried to make amends by wearing the eagle—properly fastened—on the cover.

By tradition, it is the vice president, not the president, who administers the oath of office to a cabinet member. Here, the president looks on as Al Gore and I get in some practice just outside the Oval Office. At that point, my eagle pin was still secure.
Flanked by President Clinton and Vice President Gore, I deliver remarks following my swearing in. My precious eagle is barely hanging on. **Secretary of State Diamond Eagle, designer unknown.**

During an overseas trip, I needed to confer privately with First Lady Hillary Rodham Clinton. Where better than the ladies’ room? I was proud to be the first woman to serve as secretary of state and delighted when Secretary Clinton became one of my successors. Opposite is a pin showing the glass ceiling in its ideal condition: shattered. **Breaking the Glass Ceiling, designer unknown.**
Atlas, Hervé van der Straeten.
III. Body Language

By the time, in early 1997, when I began serving as secretary of state, my penchant for pins had become well-known. It helped that the picture on the front of Newsweek featured me with my combination Uncle Sam’s hat and eagle. Since I was wearing brooches and getting photographed more than ever, the public’s perception of the connection grew. Due to the demands on my time, I had fewer opportunities for browsing through shops, but it didn’t matter, because everyone began giving me pins.


When diplomats meet, it is considered only civilized to exchange gifts. Legally, American officials may retain foreign offerings that are below a certain value—in my day, $245. More expensive items become the property of the U.S. government and are displayed, stored, or sold for the benefit of the federal treasury. Another option is to purchase the present at full price, which I did on a few occasions. Some particularly large gifts, such as the handsome live horse with which I was presented in Mongolia or the endearingly vocal goat I was given in Mali, are actually retained by the hosts and, I suspect, given more than once to dignitaries passing through Ulan Bator or Bamako.

Selecting the perfect gift for a foreign minister is like finding “just the right thing” for a distant relative. The choice requires a blend of common sense, intuition, and guesswork. I generally gave mementos that reflected the United States: to men, eagle cuff links; to women, a specially made eagle pin that I signed on the back.

My gifts to foreign leaders. Foreign Minister’s eagle, Christine Harkins;
Robin Cook gave me this striking Judith Leiber lion pin. I made sure to wear it during our press conference in 2000.
Scripture instructs us that it is more blessed to give than to receive, but it says nothing about which is more fun. My colleagues in the diplomatic community were pleased to assume that, in my case, a clever but inexpensive pin would always be appreciated. They were right. From British Foreign Secretary Robin Cook, I was given a lion brooch; from Canada’s Lloyd Axworthy, a maple leaf; from France’s Hubert Védrine, a sparkly French design; from NATO’s Javier Solana, a delicate flower; and from Russia’s Yevgeny Primakov and Igor Ivanov, lacquer pins showing various snowy scenes hand-painted in the intricate Russian style. You might think that enough would be enough, but to an aspiring collector, every addition is exciting. When presented with a gift-wrapped box, I ripped the ribbons off with heartfelt thanks and relish. The only problem I had was remembering to wear the pin in my next meeting with the person who had given it. As my Wellesley classmate Judith Martin (Miss Manners) might have reminded me, etiquette counts.

Among my favorite gifts is one from Leah Rabin, the widow of Israeli Prime Minister Yitzhak Rabin. The pin is of a dove, symbolizing the goal—peace in the Holy Land—for which the prime minister had given his life. Like many of my predecessors, I had been reluctant to wander into the quicksand of Middle East negotiations. A series of terrorist incidents in the summer of my first year as secretary, however, left me with no choice. If leaders did not find a way to bring people together, extremists on every side would prepare for a future without peace, pointing inevitably to disaster.

In September 1999, Egyptian President Hosni Mubarak (second from left) and I witnessed the signing of an interim agreement between Israeli Prime Minister Ehud Barak and Palestinian Chairman Yasser Arafat.

In 1997, on August 6, I appeared before the National Press Club to outline ideas for negotiation and to announce plans for a trip to the region. The speech drew a full house, which, when combined with the television lights, warmed the room. I felt flushed and would probably have fainted had I not been petrified by what the newspapers would have written. Somehow I made it through the speech; Leah Rabin, among others, noted the dove pin displayed prominently on my chest.

A gift from Chairman Arafat. Butterfly, designer unknown.

A few weeks later, Mrs. Rabin came to see me at my hotel in Israel. She brought with her a companion necklace, composed of a flock of doves, and handed me a note that read: “There is a saying: ‘One swallow doesn’t announce the spring’—so maybe one dove needs reinforcements to create a reality of peace in the Middle East. We need hope which is so much lost—I do wish you will restore it. With all my sincerest wishes, Leah.”
Speaking on Middle East peace at the National Press Club. My dove was flying, but I felt faint.

Dinner with Israeli Prime Minister Rabin, whose assassination in 1995 was a profound tragedy.

I wore the dove pin again when paying my respects to the victims of genocide in Rwanda, 1997. *Peace dove and necklace, Cécile et Jeanne.*
Diplomatic negotiations often proceeded more slowly than hoped. I stocked up on turtles to signify my impatience and wore the crab when aggravated. *Crab, Vertige.*

In the three years that followed, I devoted more time to the Middle East than to any other region, as did President Clinton. Although I often wore the dove, I found cause—when displeased with the pace of negotiations—to substitute a turtle, a snail, or, when truly aggravated, a crab. Sadly, none of the pins proved equal to their assigned task. Today, long after Mrs. Rabin’s hope-filled gesture, the dove remains in need of reinforcements.

The frustrations of Middle East diplomacy were a constant reminder of the responsibilities that come with the job of secretary of state. I loved representing the United States but never stopped wondering how well I would measure up; thus I never stopped working. This attitude was reflected in a pin I had bought in Paris, made of gilt metal and wrought into a stylized Atlas holding up the Earth. I felt that America’s duty was not to try to do everything itself, but to foster a sense of commitment that would bring out the best in every country. My intent in wearing the pin—which I took only to the most important meetings—was to indicate to my colleagues that, collectively, we had the weight of the world on our shoulders. As a joke, my diplomatic security team made up a T-shirt that portrayed me as Atlas, a role with which I would have been uncomfortable for two reasons: First, in most early depictions, Atlas appears naked; second, his actual task in Greek mythology was not to hold up the Earth—which was considered flat—but to hold up the heavens. Although my spirit would have been willing, I am much too short for that.
other designers unknown.

two purple, black, and gold turtles, Isabel Canovas;

other designers unknown.

Black and white turtle, Lea Stein;

two purple, black, and gold turtles, Isabel Canovas;
As more people began to comment on my pins, I naturally found myself growing self-conscious. In the morning or even the night before, I started thinking about the right pin for the coming day and sometimes for each meeting. I didn’t have much leisure for planning trips abroad, so often I just scooped up a handful of pieces from my jewelry box in hopes of finding an appropriate choice when the moment arrived. Some pins were essentially mood pieces, to indicate whether events were going poorly or well. When feeling good, I often wore a ladybug pin, because who doesn’t love a ladybug? A second preference was my hot-air balloons, which I interpreted to mean high hopes, not overheated rhetoric. Other pins were aimed at conjuring up the quality needed to make a negotiation succeed, such as a tranquil swan or a wise owl. Less imaginatively, when discussing the salmon industry with my Canadian colleagues, I wore a pin shaped like a fish.
Fish, Nettie Rosenstein;
small ladybug, reproduction, The Metropolitan Museum of Art; large ladybug, designer unknown;
swans, Swarovski.
In 1998, terrorists bombed the U.S. embassies in Kenya and Tanzania. Before flying across the Atlantic to honor those who were killed, I made brief remarks at Andrews Air Force Base. With sadness in my heart, I turned for help to an angel. *Angel, designer unknown.*

David Yurman created this American flag pin in support of families affected by 9/11.

*Sunburst, Hervé van der Straeten.*

Because I am by nature a worried optimist (as opposed to a contented pessimist), I found many opportunities to wear my brooch of a brilliantly shining sun. Of course, part of being a diplomat is to make the best of a difficult situation, so I sometimes wore the sun more as an expression of hope than of expectation. In Haiti, for example, the Clinton administration had used force to oust an illegitimate military junta and restore the elected president. On every visit thereafter, I met with the civilian leaders, voiced America’s desire to help, and talked about the prospects for progress. Each evening, as I put away the sun, I feared that neither my words of hope nor my effort to suggest the start of a new day would be enough to transform a desperate reality. The Haitian people—anxious and impoverished—deserve a far better government than they have had.

Naturally, not every diplomatic encounter demands a sunny attitude. If I wanted to deliver a sharp message, I often wore a bee. Muhammad Ali used to boast that he would “float like a butterfly, sting like a bee” my message was that America would try to resolve every controversy peacefully, but if pushed into a corner, we had both the will and a way to strike back.
All this, I subsequently found, was generating not only diplomatic sparks but also an economic jump start for the costume jewelry industry. In Paris, I went into the gallery where Leah Rabin had bought her dove and was startled to find my picture on the wall. Visiting an antique jewelry store in New York, I was thanked by the owner for saving her business. A group in the Northeast set up a pin watch over the Internet where they would find out what I was wearing each day and try to interpret my choice.

Yasser Arafat and I conferring by phone with President Clinton. I spent many hours wrangling with the Palestinian leader about the need for compromise in the Middle East. My pin reflected my mood. Bee, designer unknown.

Feature articles appeared in the foreign and domestic press, and—to my embarrassment—total strangers began walking up and trying to give me pins.

One instance in particular bears recounting. On July 4, 2000, I was privileged to stand on the steps of the home of Thomas Jefferson, the first secretary of state, to witness hundreds of people take their oath of allegiance as new citizens of the United States. A naturalized citizen myself, I was moved by the ceremony and astonished, as always,
by the remarkable diversity in background of the American people. Appropriately, attendees were given small American flags to wave, but at the accompanying reception my attention was drawn to a more dramatic version of the flag. Two elegant Virginia ladies, Julann Griffin and her sister, Maureen, were introduced to me, the former wearing a jumbo star-spangled U.S. flag brooch. When I complimented Julann, she offered me the pin. I had to say no but later accepted when she repeated her kind gesture after I left office. That was when Mrs. Griffin informed me that the brooch had originally been a gift from her ex-husband, the one and only Merv Griffin, who credited her with thinking up the game show *Jeopardy*. For me ever since, the question “What is Monticello?” has been linked to “The place where I got my fantastic pin.”

Throughout my life with brooches, there has been one overriding challenge: how to wear them.

I long ago stopped wearing long necklaces, because they bounced around. I never liked the appearance of pins on lapels, especially on me. Pins on coats did not suit me either. I always preferred to wear brooches on the left side, thinking they looked better there, but the larger ones got in the way when I carried a purse with a strap. Smaller pins gradually appealed less because I had grown accustomed to what one reviewer of my books referred to as my “big honker brooches.”

![My Monticello flag, Butler & Wilson.](image)

Hats, like jewelry, can be expressive. I spent my teenage years in Colorado and developed a fondness for Stetsons. I rekindled this affection when traveling as secretary of state, both because I liked the look and because I had more bad hair days than I could count. *Cowgirl hat, Ultra Craft.*
I like to arrange my bees and flower in different ways. One evening, while sitting with Aga Khan at a State Department dinner on cultural diplomacy, I had the bees in an ascending line.

There was also the dilemma of how to arrange multiple pins. Some went together naturally, such as the zebras that I wore to a meeting with Nelson Mandela. Other combinations took more imagination—for example, bees approaching a sunflower. It was fun experimenting with various arrangements, but the practice threatened to consume too much energy. This is beside the fact that my clothes began to resemble dartboards, so perforated were they by the pins; eventually, I had to wear more and even bigger ones to mask the destruction.

At the same time, I had to deal with what a male friend described to me in jest as the Hooters issue. At Morey Junior High School in Denver, I had worn a blouse with a decoration that included two spiderwebs made of white stitching. I also owned a pair of small bug pins that I did not hesitate to place at the center of the spiderwebs, which were located on the left and right sides of my torso, face-front, breast-high. Given my age at the time, no one pointed out that they resembled pasties. With maturity comes growth, however, and as I traveled the world as a diplomat, I wanted people to look at my pins without embarrassing either the observers or me. So I wore the pins higher and higher up.

Nelson Mandela represented a new hope in Africa in the mid-1990s. I wore my favorite zebra pins when I met him at his estate in Pretoria, South Africa, in December 1997. *From left: Medium zebra, Ciner; large zebra, KUO; small zebra, designer unknown.*
I was justified in this approach when the foreign minister of South Korea made a comment, intended to be off the record, that he enjoyed hugging me at meetings and press conferences because I had “firm breasts.” When the remark hit the newspapers, the foreign minister almost lost his job. Upon being asked to comment, I said, “Well, I have to have something to put these pins on.” After that, the controversy quieted, but when I next met the foreign minister, instead of embracing we stopped an arm’s length apart and shook hands.

One reason I had so many meetings with the foreign minister of South Korea is that we had so many quarrels with North Korea. That country’s dictator, Kim Jong-il, had begun testing long-range missiles of a type that could conceivably threaten the territory of the United States. We were determined to prevent that, and so I traveled to Pyongyang, North Korea’s capital, to negotiate.

In no other country on Earth are pins more crucial or less decorative. Every North Korean is expected to wear a pin bearing the image of the nation’s founder, Kim Il-sung. Failure to display this badge of adoration is evidence of independent political thought, something strictly prohibited and severely punished. This is one reason why I find it absurd when U.S. politicians are criticized for not wearing American flag pins. The United States is a strong, confident country; we need not be so insecure as to require constant demonstrations of allegiance. At the same time, I wore the boldest American flag pin I had when meeting with Kim Jong-il. North Koreans are taught from an early age that America is evil; I wanted them to reconcile that reputation with photos of their exalted leader playing host to me.

Evil, of course, resides in the eye of the beholder. One of my more distinctive pieces of jewelry conveys a message about evil and how to resist it. The story begins in the spring of 1999, when leaders from NATO gathered in Washington to observe the In North Korea, October 2000, posing for the cameras with Kim Jong-il. To appear taller, I wore heels. So did he. American flag, Robert Sorrell. alliance’s fiftieth anniversary. As part of our preparations, President Clinton met with his foreign policy team. Just as we were getting down to business, photographer Diana Walker was allowed in. The photo op was good for public relations but meant that we had to cease talking about confidential issues. To dramatize the need for discretion, the president, clowning around, clamped his hand over his mouth. Defense Secretary Bill Cohen then put his hands over his ears. Taking my cue from the other two, I promptly covered my eyes. We literally made monkeys of ourselves before the camera, mimicking the well-known “Hear no evil, speak no evil, see no evil” adage.

In North Korea, October 20, posing for the cameras with Kim Jong-il. To appear taller, I wore heels. So did he. American flag, Robert Sorrell.
Clowning around with Defense Secretary Cohen and President Clinton.

At the time of the Walker photograph, I didn’t own a three-monkey pin, but I soon found a set in Brussels. The individual figures are carved out of tagua nuts and each sits on a glass cabochon (pink, purple, or orange) encircled with crystals. The origin of the monkeys as a warning against temptation is lost in the mists of Japanese folklore, but the admonition dates back at least five hundred years and has much to do with accepting responsibility for wrongful thoughts and actions. The most famous carvings of Kikazaru (the “hear no” monkey), Iwazaru (the “speak no” monkey), and Mizaru (the “see no” monkey) can be found above the door of the seventeenth-century Toshogu shrine in Nikko, Japan.
Hear No Evil, Speak No Evil, See No Evil, Iradj Moini.

I first had occasion to wear the monkey pins on a visit to Moscow for a meeting with Russian President Vladimir Putin. One of the issues I wanted to raise was Russia’s callous attitude toward human rights in the region of Chechnya, where brutal fighting was then taking place. The Russian military had legitimate reasons to fight rebel terrorists, but its approach was so heavy-handed that it was only creating more enemies. I argued that international monitors should be allowed into the region to protect civilians. Putin blocked the request, denying that any human rights violations were being committed. He saw no evil; hence my pins.

Despite our disagreements over Chechnya, the Russians were ever mindful of the signals I was sending. Putin told President Clinton that he routinely checked to see what brooch I was wearing and tried to decipher its meaning. Sometimes my choice reflected warmth in our relationship, as when I wore a gold spaceship brooch celebrating our partnership in the skies, but more often the mood was tense. Putin, who was young and disciplined, had replaced Boris Yeltsin, who was neither. My first impressions of the Russian leader were mixed—he was obviously capable, but his instincts appeared more autocratic than democratic. As the months passed, my early hopes were deflated by Putin’s single-minded pursuit of power.

Among our most contentious discussions with the Kremlin were those involving nuclear arms. The United States wanted to make changes in the antiballistic missile treaty, and our counterparts did not. At the beginning of our talks, the Russian foreign minister looked at the arrow-like pin I had chosen for that day and inquired, “Is that one of your interceptor missiles?” I said, “Yes, and as you can see, we know how to make them very small. So you’d better be ready to negotiate.”

One high point in U.S.-Russian relations occurred in 1998, when modules from our two countries linked up at the International Space Station. In Florida, I witnessed the night launch of the space shuttle Endeavour, which carried the U.S. module to its rendezvous. Space shuttle pin, RC2, Corp.

As the debate about missiles showed, Cold War habits were slow to disappear. One December day in 1999, Stanislav Borisovich Gusev, a fiftyish “diplomat,” was arrested while sitting on a bench outside the State Department. He was, in fact, a spy harvesting data from a listening device that our agents had located in a conference room at the far end of the building from my office. The electronic bug had been hard to find; Gusev had not. To avoid detection, the Russians had used a battery with low power, but this meant that anyone listening to the signals had to be stationed nearby. Gusev spent much of that autumn ostentatiously maneuvering his car outside the department’s heavily guarded building, while inside our security people were scouring floors, walls, and furniture for whatever was prompting his movements.
With Russian foreign minister Igor Ivanov on the balcony of the State Department.

Interceptor Missile, Lisa Vershbow.

Sorcerer, Z. Alandia; other designers unknown.

UFO, Jonette Jewelry.
Bug, Iradj Moini.

The incident attracted unwelcome publicity, but the Russians learned nothing from their eavesdropping that we wouldn’t have told them if asked. Nor did the episode disrupt our diplomatic relations with Moscow, which have survived far more embarrassing cases of espionage. I met with Foreign Minister Ivanov in Europe only a few days subsequent to Gusev’s arrest. We greeted each other as the friends we were, but Ivanov could not fail to notice on my dress a pin in the shape of an enormous bug.

Perhaps it is my imagination, but this pin always seems to end the day higher on my jacket than where it began.

I was reminded while secretary of state that there is a political dimension to the operations of the gem industry. Valuable resources attract feverish competition for access and control. To regulate the market, the world has created a system that encourages trade based on agreed-upon standards and rules. In some cases, as with endangered species, those rules prohibit trade. In others, our leaders have found it necessary to limit or ban sales from particular countries. Two examples during my tenure are worthy of mention.

Jade has been called the stone of Heaven. It is a personal favorite of mine and has been sought after for centuries, initially by Chinese emperors and Asian warlords, more recently by lovers of fine gems on every continent. Carat for carat, jade’s value has soared. It is disquieting, then, that the majority of the world’s most precious jade (or, more properly, jadeite) is mined in Burma, home to some of the poorest people and one of the most repressive governments on Earth. Until the mid-1990s, ethnic groups controlled the mines, using the revenue to preserve autonomy from the military regime. Over the past decade, the government has seized control of the mines, exploiting them (and the beaten-down souls who labor in them) for money and power. While in office, I championed economic sanctions against Burma; these have since been extended to include the most lucrative types of Burmese gems that are processed elsewhere. The ban is firmly supported by the Jewelers Vigilance Committee (a legal compliance group), the trade association Jewelers of America, and such leading international firms as Cartier and Tiffany.

In 1999, I visited a camp for amputees in Sierra Leone. It was a sweltering, muddy, crowded place. I remember especially holding a three-year-old girl who wore a red jumper and played with a toy car, using the only arm she had. Like many poor countries, Sierra Leone required voters to dip their fingers into indelible ink to prevent double-voting. The best-equipped rebel group felt it could frustrate the elections by chopping off the hands of potential voters, including children. This militia, and others in Angola and Congo, was financed in part by what came to be known as “blood” or “conflict” diamonds. These were diamonds seized and trafficked by armed groups that killed indiscriminately, often employing preteen soldiers.

Human rights activists appealed to me to try to stop the commercial use of such stones to fuel civil wars in Africa. I agreed. We supported a diplomatic initiative—known as the Kimberley Process—that is now accepted by every major diamond-producing and diamond-consuming country. Its purpose is to ensure that the much-coveted stones are traded legitimately from the time they leave a mine until the moment they appear in storefront windows. Like any such system, it is not leakproof, but it has done much to squeeze the profit out of blood diamonds, in part because the process has been widely backed by legitimate dealers. No responsible company wants to contribute to the success of thugs who start wars out of greed and hack off the limbs of children.
As a matter of policy, this story has an encouraging ending. On a personal level, it is even better. In 2007, I learned that the little girl in the red jumper whom I had tried to comfort in Sierra Leone had found adoptive parents and is now a happy and healthy teenager living on the same street as I do in Washington, D.C.

Panther, Cartier.
Trailing Eagle, Les Bernard.
IV. “It Would Be an Honor”

The twentieth of January 2001 was my final day as secretary of state. I imagined that the incoming staff might have to drag me out of my office by the heels, but in the end I went peacefully. I had had my time; now it was the turn of others. That is how democracy works.

In my new life, I have worn many hats—as author, professor, speaker, and businesswoman. I serve as chair of the National Democratic Institute and president of the Truman Scholarship Foundation and have led task forces on poverty, genocide, and Arab democracy. World affairs remain my preoccupation, which means I continue to crisscross the globe. I also enjoy, now more than ever, wearing and collecting pins.

![COURTESY OF WILLIAM J. CLINTON PRESIDENTIAL LIBRARY/SHARON FARMER](image)

I wore the Trailing Eagle pin for our official cabinet photo in 2000, my last full year as secretary of state.

![This pen and book pin was a gift from my sister, Kathy Silva, upon the completion of my memoir, Madam Secretary. Fountain pen, Carolee; book, designer unknown.](image)

In Las Vegas years ago, I was booked to give a speech to a gathering of executives from the travel industry. The woman who organized the event asked what pin I intended to wear. I replied that I had brought only a necklace. She was aghast: “But that’s impossible; we all expect you to wear a pin.” Hours remained before the speech, and Las Vegas shops are always open, so I had little trouble finding something suitable. Since that time, I have learned to accept that when I appear in public, a pin is part of the package.

Fame, of course, is relative. In recent years, I have been mistaken in one venue or another for Margaret Thatcher, Barbara Bush, Judi Dench, Helen Thomas, some nice young fellow’s Aunt Agatha, and the television weather lady in Minneapolis. Confusing my face with that of someone else is—in my ledger—a misdemeanor. Ignorance of my pins, however, is a felony. Among former foreign ministers, one of my closest friends is Joschka Fischer of Germany. After I left office, I was interviewed with Joschka on Berlin television. The commentator asked him what he thought of my practice of using pins to send a diplomatic message. Fischer hadn’t a clue. He looked at me, then at her, then back at me, and confessed he couldn’t have an opinion about something he had never noticed. No doubt Joschka will be delighted this Christmas to find under his *Weihnachtsbaum* an autographed copy of this book.
The 2008 election was one of the most exciting in memory. I was honored during the campaign to spend time working on foreign policy with the winner, President Barack Obama.
Early in my life, my mother’s ring served as a means for connecting one generation to another. When I was a young woman, the gift of a fraternity pin was an emblem of romance. In maturity, the brooches I bought for myself were signs of growing confidence and independence. In government, I used pins as a diplomatic tool. Now that I am out of office, my hobby often serves as an icebreaker. Before or after a speech, or while standing in line at the airport or supermarket, I am frequently asked about the pin I am wearing or to comment on one worn by somebody else. Such conversations, once initiated, can lead anywhere. I will not forget the woman who spoke enthusiastically about my pins before proceeding cheerily to compliment my overall appearance. “You look great,” she said. “Just like my grandmother. She’s 106 and as fit and sharp as she can be.”

Although I remain busy, I do have more time than previously to shop in Washington and around the United States. I also often pick up pieces while overseas. In a less troubled world, we would ordinarily think of jewelry as sending a friendly message, or at least not a violent one. In the post-9/11 era, however, even bottles of mouthwash and tubes of toothpaste can be considered threats. Perhaps I should not have been surprised, then, when a security agent stopped me at an airport gate and asked to examine a brooch I had just purchased in Turkey. The pin is of a slithery dragon wrapped around a small silver sword. Nothing to worry about, except that the sword is removable. The security agent glanced at me, then peered at the pin while shaking his head. “No weapons,” he said.
Speaking in San Diego at the Gemological Institute of America’s fourth International Symposium, 2006. Given the nature of the event, I chose a particularly dramatic pin.

*Dragon and sword, designer unknown.*

*Sea horse, Swarovski;*
two colorful fish, Swarovski;

two colorful fish, Swarovski;

rainbow fish, Swarovski;

sea creature, Cécile et Jeanne;

coral reef, designer unknown;

sand dollar, designer unknown;
lobster, Landau;

crayfish, designer unknown;

starfish, José & Maria Barrera;

sea sponge, R. DeRosa;

sea anemone, Ann Hand;

octopus on coral, Kenneth Jay Lane;

chambered nautilus, designer unknown.
Processing to Palm Sunday services in Tobago, April 1998. I didn’t have a donkey or burro pin for the occasion, so I wore my circular horse.

I used to think rings were not worth buying because people have only ten fingers; I have to admit now to possessing more pins than any human could reasonably have occasion to wear. Of these, I bought many, but a goodly number more were gifts. Like the emperors of old India, I have become a collector and hoarder; still, most of my pins remain of the costume variety, hardly suitable for a royal procession. As is typical with a collector, I am attracted both to similarity and to diversity. It is always interesting to find a piece that is different from any other but also fun adding to categories I already have.
Leopard head, other designers unknown.
Nothing inspired me more than visiting American troops overseas. This pin was a gift from Barbara and Bill Richardson during his time as U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations. *Celebration of Freedom, designer unknown.*

*Pin embossed with the Seal of the President of the United States, the White House. President Clinton’s signature is on the back.*

The center of my collection remains the Americana group, which has been filled out with flags, bows, ribbons, freedom torches, and even brooch-size replicas of the Statue of Liberty. One standout is a pin given to me by President and Mrs. Clinton that depicts the Seal of the President of the United States; another is a composition of emblems representing our various armed forces, accented with sparkling crystals and topped by an enameled American flag. This was a gift from Mary Jo Myers, whose husband, General Richard Myers, was my military adviser at the time and later chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

*Ode to U.S. Armed Forces, Mina Lyles.*
The Thelonious Monk Institute of Jazz celebrates one of America’s distinctive art forms by educating young people and sending musical ambassadors around the world. Colin Powell and I served as cochairs for the Institute’s twentieth anniversary celebration, at which Quincy Jones (left) and Herbie Hancock (center) presented a lifetime achievement award to Stevie Wonder. It’s hard to tell from the picture, but I managed to get an entire jazz band onto my jacket. Opposite page, amber musical instruments, Keith Lipert Gallery; other designers unknown.
Eagle, Joseff of Hollywood.

Over the years, enough eagles have flown into my collection to comprise a small flock. One of the most interesting was produced by Joseff of Hollywood, who was famed for designing the jewelry in such films as *Gone With the Wind*, *The Wizard of Oz*, and the 1938 version of *Marie Antoinette*. Unlike the official U.S. eagle, this golden specimen clutches an olive branch on each side; its breast bears a shield garnished with red, white, and blue stones.

My most ingenious piece of Americana is a contemporary silver Liberty brooch. It shows the head of Lady Liberty, her eyes formed by two watch faces, one of which is upside down. The idea is that I can look down at the brooch to see when it is time for an appointment to end, while my visitor can look across at the pin for the same purpose. Dating from 1997, this design was made for “Brooching It Diplomatically,” an exhibition inspired by my pins and organized by Helen W. Drutt English. The event drew contributions from dozens of international artists who were invited to create pins that transmitted a message, often a moral lesson about peace, justice, human rights, or some other uplifting goal.

Helen W. Drutt English, an authority on modern and contemporary crafts and a curatorial consultant, was intrigued when she read that I had an unusual strategy for sending a political message. She invited jewelers from around the world to create pins that would send messages of their own. More than sixty artists from sixteen countries responded. Their imaginative contributions were displayed in “Brooching It Diplomatically: A Tribute to Madeleine Albright.” This unique exhibit opened in Philadelphia, toured Europe, and was hosted by the Museum of Arts and Design in New York in 1999. Two of the pieces are now in my collection. On this page is an untitled leaf, which Helen Shirk, an American, created to illustrate the organic nature of negotiations. Opposite is Liberty, a pin designed by Gijs Bakker of the Netherlands. The clocks are arranged so that I, looking down, and a visitor, looking across, will each be able to tell when the time for our meeting is up.

This snake is far more beautiful than anything that slithers through the gardens of my farm in Virginia. *Snake*, Kenneth Jay Lane.

While in government, I thought first when selecting a pin about the utility it might have in diplomacy. This is because some figures are laden with meaning. The lion, for example, has been linked to power and the sun since the days of ancient Greece. Thus, Syria’s formidable President Hafez al-Assad took considerable pride in the fact that his name means “lion” in Arabic. For our first meeting, I wore a lion pin, thinking it might put Assad in a forthcoming mood; it didn’t.

The serpent, connected in my mind to Saddam Hussein, is often portrayed alongside a tree or, as on my pin, a branch. Together, the serpent and tree are considered symbols of life, fertility, and (because the snake sheds its skin) renewal and rebirth. The association has cultural and religious connotations dating all the way back to the Garden of Eden—the concept of which can be traced to Mesopotamia, or modern-day Iraq.
The dragon, meanwhile, has long symbolized China, as the bear has Russia, the koala Australia, and the mighty kiwi New Zealand. The Andean region is proud of its condor, Arabs of the peregrine falcon, Guatemala of the resplendent quetzal, Belize of the toucan, and the Bahamas of its flamingo. The United States may have a patent on the bald eagle, but other eagle species are claimed by a dozen lands, including Mexico’s golden variety, Poland’s white-tailed, Panama’s harpy, and the African fish eagle of Zimbabwe and Zambia. The ubiquity of the great bird of prey is what prompted Benjamin Franklin to suggest for America a national symbol all its own: the turkey.

Out of government, I have less need to concern myself with such associations. I am free instead to indulge my own preferences, which include, in addition to patriotic symbols, such intriguing creatures as butterflies, frogs, songbirds, winged insects, and an infinite variety of bugs—especially big ones, the kind that seem poised to leap from my jacket. As with the lion and serpent, many of these species come with a past.
The dragonfly is an extraordinary species, with large eyes, two sets of powerful wings, an athletic body, and a
healthy appetite for mosquitoes (center pin) and other pests. Known to the English as the “devil’s darning needle,” the insect is associated by the Japanese with courage, happiness, and strength. Artists find dragonflies fascinating; so do I. En tremblant dragonfly with pearl, Heidi Daus; turquoise enamel dragonfly, Ciner; yellow dragonfly, Swarovski; other designers unknown.
Spider Walia stickpin, Jewelry 10; green and silver spider, E. Spence; other designers unknown.

Crouching green and gold frog, Kenneth Jay Lane; other designers unknown.

The frog, for instance, is associated in many cultures with the creation myth, although I think of it more in the context of Moses and the second plague of Egypt (“The Nile will teem with frogs. They will come up into your palace and your bedroom and onto your beds.”). As for the spider, it has been renowned since ancient times for its patience, wile, and predatory attitude. I wear my spider pin—complete with web and fly—when I am feeling devious; if you see it on any day except Halloween, beware.

The butterfly, emerging from the chrysalis, was considered by the Greeks to be a symbol of the soul. In the art nouveau period, around the end of the nineteenth century, a popular jewelry design showed the body of a woman
with the wings of a butterfly. This symbolized the liberation of women.

The liberation of a country was commemorated by the Cartier company when, in 1944, Nazi occupiers were driven from France. The brooch showed an open cage and a bird singing. Two years earlier, when the storm troopers seized Paris, the company had produced a similar piece, except with the birds locked up.

Flowers, too, are abundant in my collection. Like animals and bugs, various species of flora have acquired a distinctive meaning in literature and lore. The pansy is supposed to indicate thoughtfulness; ivy signifies fidelity; the lotus and the orchid were representative of the supposedly lethargic East; and the forget-me-not is a plea, well, not to forget. Flowers are usually for happier times, yet the lily has mournful connotations as well.

It would be inaccurate to suggest that I spend my spare time carefully arranging my brooches according to their affinity for one another. I am conscious, though, of the varieties that I have collected and am pleased to add to certain groups on occasion. There are, however, some unusual pin ideas that must be considered on their own.
Rose de Noël, Van Cleef & Arpels.

Vegetable man and spring onion, designers unknown.

Apple, designer unknown;

gold leaf with red berries, Cécile et Jeanne;
two cherries, MV;

red grapes, designer unknown;

pomegranate, Cilça;

three cherries, I. Chase;

black cherries, Cilça;
The smaller mushrooms represent Israel, Syria, and the Palestinian Authority; the larger is the United States. The pin was made from Syrian, Palestinian, Israeli, and American coins. Mushrooms, Mary Ehlers.

On my sixty-fifth birthday, Elaine Shocas, my State Department chief of staff, gave me sixty-five pins, each costing less than three dollars. One of the gifts was in the shape of a high-heeled shoe. This was in commemoration of a comment I made when I was designated by Bill Clinton as the successor to Secretary of State Warren Christopher: “I only hope my heels can fill his shoes.”

During Middle East peace talks, I was constantly besieged by the press. Journalists clamored to know everything about our meetings, even though the negotiators were pledged to secrecy. To deflect questions, I told reporters that peace talks were comparable to mushrooms, thriving only in the dark. My diplomatic security team soon surprised me with a custom-made pin depicting a tiny field of mushrooms. From then on, the mushrooms were a tip-off to the media that I had nothing revealing to say.

One set of pins that I bought for myself consists of a trio of brilliant enamels, each showing a ship at sea. Those familiar with history have asked me whether the ships represent the Niña, Pinta, and Santa María. I reply with a smile, for people should think what they want. In reality, I bought the pins with my three daughters in mind; the ships are beautiful, graceful, and moving along at full sail, having long since left home port.
I love spending time with children. Here, the Girl Scouts are sporting merit badges; I’m wearing a fish. *Bejeweled Mickey, Disney Enterprises, Inc.*

Grasshopper, Landau;

cicada, Iraj Moini;

fly with pearl, Iraj Moini, green ladybug, Sandor;
two blue horseflies, designer unknown;

green, purple, and blue beetle, Kenneth Jay Lane.

In 2008, I was invited to participate in an excursion to the Arctic along with an eclectic boatload of scientists, academics, businesspeople, philanthropists, musicians, and my grandson David. The sponsors were the National Geographic Society and the Aspen Institute. The theme was climate change; the scenery included melting ice and worried polar bears. Although others brought back photos and T-shirts, I returned with a pin. The gift of Stefan Rahmstorf, a professor of ocean physics, and his wife, Stefanie, a jewelry maker, the pin is shaped like a C with a white pearl attached at the top and bottom. The letter represents carbon; the round pearls are O for oxygen. Together, they symbolize carbon dioxide, a major cause of global warming. With each pin sold, the Rahmstorfs are able to buy and retire a ton of CO₂ from the European Union Emissions Trading System, thus reducing global emissions by that amount.

There is one other pin that is in a category by itself.

In the fall of 2006, I spoke at the D-Day Museum in New Orleans, at an event delayed for a year because of Hurricane Katrina. This gave me an opportunity to look around the city, large parts of which remained in ruins. I was saddened by the contrast between the museum—which celebrated America at its best—and the shabby treatment accorded to the residents of one of our country’s most beautiful and historic cities.

At the reception following my speech, a young man bearing a small box approached me. Inside the box was a pin. “My mother loved you,” he explained, “and she knew that you liked and wore pins. My father gave her this one for their fiftieth wedding anniversary. She died as a result of Katrina, and my father and I think she would have wanted you to have it. It would be an honor to her if you would accept it.” I am not often speechless, nor am I quick to tear up, but this gift pushed me to the brink. The young man’s father, I discovered, had earned two Purple Hearts fighting the Nazis in France, having suffered a bayonet wound and still carrying shrapnel in his left calf. His name is J.J. Witmeyer Jr.; he and his wife, Thais Audrey, were married for sixty-two years.
I call it the Katrina pin, a flower composed of amethysts and diamonds. I wear it as a reminder that jewelry’s greatest value comes not from intrinsic materials or brilliant designs but from the emotions we invest. The most cherished attributes are not those that dazzle the eye but those that recall to the mind the face and spirit of a loved one.

As these pages illustrate, pins are inherently expressive. Elegant or plain, they reveal much about who we are and how we hope to be perceived. Styles have changed through the years, as has jewelry’s role in relations between the genders and in the affairs of state. I was fortunate to serve at a time and in a place that allowed me to experiment by using pins to communicate a diplomatic message. One might scoff and say that my pins didn’t exactly shake the world. To that I can reply only that shaking the world is precisely the opposite of what diplomats are placed on Earth to do.
Black-eyed Susan, Sandor;

dandelion diamond puff

dandelion, McTeigue & McClelland;
lily of the valley, designer unknown;

tulip, designer unknown;

seed pearl flower, designer unknown;
sunflower, Carolee;

pearl flowers, JJ;

gold and aqua flower, designer unknown.
Frontmatter: THE GREAT SEAL OF THE UNITED STATES BOOK LOCKET AND PIN, 1990. ANN HAND, USA. 18KT YELLOW GOLD–PLATED BASE METAL. 1.4" X 1.4" (3.5CM X 3.5CM).

Frontmatter: THE UNITED STATES CAPITOL, CIRCA 1970. MONET, USA. YELLOW GOLD–PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 2" X 1.6" (5CM X 4CM).

Frontmatter: ASYMMETRICAL GOLD HEART, 1996. ERWIN PEARL, USA. YELLOW GOLD–PLATED BASE METAL, GRANULATED FINISH. 2.8" X 2.2" (7.2CM X 5.6CM).

Frontmatter: RED HEART AND BOW, 1996. ANN HAND, USA. YELLOW GOLD–PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 2.5" X 1.3" (5.5CM X 3.5CM).

Frontmatter: BEJEWELED HEART, CIRCA 1999. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. OXIDIZED RHODIUM–FINISHED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 2.2" X 2" (5.6CM X 5CM).

Frontmatter: SPARKLING RED HEART, 1998. ANN HAND, USA. YELLOW GOLD–PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 1.8" X 2" (4.5CM X 5CM).

Frontmatter: INTERLOCKING HEARTS, 1991. SWAROVSKI, AUSTRIA. YELLOW GOLD–PLATED BASE METAL, SWAROVSKI CRYSTALS. 2.8" X 1.9" (7CM X 4.8CM).
Frontmatter: PURPLE HEART, CIRCA 1980. D.M. LEE, USA. STERLING SILVER WITH SUGILITE, AGATE, CORAL CABOCHONS. 1.3” X 1.2” (3.3CM X 3CM).

Frontmatter: HAMMERED METAL HEART, CIRCA 2007. OMEGA, SWEDEN. HAMMERED AND OXIDIZED STERLING SILVER, GOLD. 4.1” X 1.5” (10.4CM X 3.9CM).

Frontmatter: RHINESTONE BOMBÉ HEART, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN ARGENTINA. RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 2” X 1.8” (5.2CM X 4.5CM).

Frontmatter: GOLD GINKGO LEAF, 2000. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. GOLD-TONE BASE METAL, OXIDIZED STERLING SILVER, MARCASITES. 4.1” X 2.4” (10.4CM X 6.2CM).

Frontmatter: SILVER GINKGO LEAF, 2000. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. STERLING SILVER. 3” X 1.3” (7.5CM X 3.4CM).

Frontmatter: COPPER GINKGO LEAF, 1999. BEAUVOIR, THE NATIONAL CATHEDRAL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, USA. COPPER-PLATED BASE METAL. 2.9” X 2.8” (7.4CM X 7CM).

Frontmatter: GOLD-STEMMED GINKGO LEAF, CIRCA 2000. FABRICE, FRANCE. GOLD-TONE BASE METAL, RESIN. 4.8” X 2.5” (12.3CM X 6.3CM).

Frontmatter: VICTORY KNOT, 2008. VERDURA, USA. 18KT YELLOW-GOLD WIRE ROPE. 2.1” X 1.5” (5.3CM X 3.9CM).

Frontmatter: ALERT LADY, 1999. BRIT SVENNE/BERIT KOWALSKI, NORWAY. OXIDIZED SILVER, SMOKY QUARTZ, BLACK BRUSH. 5.3” X 4.9” (13.4CM X 12.4CM).
Frontmatter:  BLACK RHINESTONE BUTTERFLY, 1999. ANN HAND, USA. BLACK-LACQUERED WHITE BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 2.5" X 1.9" (6.3CM X 1.9CM).

Frontmatter:  GREEN AND CORAL BUTTERFLY, 2000. KENNETH JAY LANE, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, SIMULATED JADEITE, RHINESTONES, ENAMEL. 3.1" X 1.7" (7.8CM X 3.2CM).

Frontmatter:  BLUE BUTTERFLY, CIRCA 1950. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, FRANCE. MOLDED RESIN. 2.9" X 3.2" (7.3CM X 8.2CM).

Frontmatter:  LARGE SILVER BUTTERFLY, CIRCA 1990. © CHRISTIAN DIOR, FRANCE. TEXTURED, ENGRAVED SILVER BASE METAL, CRYSTALS. 4.4" X 3.5" (11.2CM X 8.8CM).

Frontmatter:  GOLD BUTTERFLY, CIRCA 1997. CÉCILE ET JEANNE, FRANCE. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, CRYSTALS. 2.3" X 2.6" (5.8CM X 6.5CM).

Frontmatter:  GOLD BUTTERFLY AND WREATH, CIRCA 1994. MIRIAM HASKELL, USA. RUSSIAN GOLD-FINISHED BASE METAL, CRYSTAL ROSE MONTÉES. 2.1" X 2" (5.3CM X 5CM).

Frontmatter:  AMBER BUTTERFLY, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN LITHUANIA. YELLOW AMBER, WIRE. 2.6" X 2.0" (6.5CM X 5CM).

Frontmatter:  GREEN AND VIOLET BUTTERFLY, 2002. MODITAL BIJOUX, ITALY. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, CRYSTALS. 1.8" X 1.6" (4.5CM X 4.0CM).
Frontmatter: LIGHT BLUE RHINESTONE BUTTERFLY, CIRCA 1960. CINER, USA. OXIDIZED YELLOW BASE METAL, RHINESTONES, GLASS. 3.9” X 2.5” (10CM X 6.4CM).

Frontmatter: BLUE ENAMEL BUTTERFLY, CIRCA 1999. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN HUNGARY. SILVER, ENAMELED SILVER, CRYSTALS, GLASS CABOCHONS. 2” X 1.2” (5.3CM X 3CM).

Frontmatter: PEARL BUTTERFLY, 1997. KENNETH JAY LANE, USA. RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, SIMULATED PEARLS, RHINESTONES. 3.6” X 2.6” (9.2CM X 6.6CM).

Frontmatter: LATTICE FILIGREE BUTTERFLY, CIRCA 1997. CAVIAR, USA. STERLING SILVER, 18KT YELLOW GOLD. 3.1” X 2.5” (8CM X 6.4CM).

Frontmatter: OPAL BUTTERFLY, 1999. TINY JEWEL BOX, USA. QUILPIE QUEENSLAND BOULDER OPALS FROM FLAME OPAL, AUSTRALIA, 18KT YELLOW GOLD, DIAMONDS, PLATINUM-WIRE ANTENNAE. 2.7” X 1.7” (6.8CM X 4.4CM).

Frontmatter: RHINESTONE BUTTERFLY, 2000. JOSÉ & MARÍA BARRERA, USA. RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 2.5” X 1.7” (6.0CM X 4.4CM).

Frontmatter: SILVER AND BLUE BUTTERFLY, CIRCA 1998. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. STERLING SILVER, RHINESTONES. 2.3” X 2” (5.8CM X 5.1CM).

Frontmatter: GRAY RHINESTONE BUTTERFLY, CIRCA 1960. CINER, USA. OXIDIZED WHITE BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 3.1” X 1.8” (6.3CM X 4.7CM).

Chapter 1: SERPENT, CIRCA 1860. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. 18KT YELLOW GOLD, DIAMOND. 2.4” X 1.1” (6.1CM X 2.8CM).

Chapter 1: BLUE BIRD, CIRCA 1880. ANTON LACHMANN, AUSTRIA. 14KT YELLOW GOLD, SILVER, ENAMEL, RUBIES, DIAMONDS. 4.3” X 2” (11CM X
Chapter 1: SUN, 2006. STEINMETZ DIAMONDS, SOUTH AFRICA. 18KT WHITE AND YELLOW GOLD, DIAMONDS. 1.4" X 0.4" (3.5CM X 1CM).

Chapter 1: CRYSTAL FLY, 1998. © CHRISTIAN DIOR, FRANCE. RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, CRYSTALS. 1.5" X 1.4" (3.8CM X 3.5CM).

Chapter 1: GREEN AND BLUE RHINESTONE BEES, CIRCA 1960. CINER, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 2.6" X 1.5" (6.5CM X 2.6CM).

Chapter 1: TURQUOISE BEE, CIRCA 1940. WALTER LAMPL, USA. 14KT YELLOW GOLD, TURQUOISE, MOTHER-OF-PEARL. 1" X 1" (2.6CM X 2.5CM).

Chapter 1: GOLDEN BEE, 1997. ST. JOHN KNITS, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, CRYSTALS. 2.3" X 0.8" (3.3CM X 2CM).

Chapter 1: EYEGLASSES, CIRCA 2002. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 1.7" X 0.7" (4.3CM X 1.9CM).

Chapter 1: LIPS AND LIPSTICK, CIRCA 2002. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL, RHINESTONE. 1.8" X 1.9" (4.5CM X 1.9CM).

Chapter 1: LEOPARD PRINT PURSE, CIRCA 1970. © AJMC, USA. ENAMELED SILVER-TONE BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 1.2" X 1.2" (3CM X 3CM).

Chapter 1: REPTILE PRINT PURSE, CIRCA 1970. © AJMC, USA. ENAMELED SILVER-TONE BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 1.3" X 1.7" (3.2CM X 4.4CM).
Chapter 1: RUBY SLIPPERS, CIRCA 2002. AJC, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, GLITTER. 2.3" X 1.5" (5.8CM X 3.8CM).

Chapter 1: PETIT OISEAU, 1998. JACQUELINE LECARME, BELGIUM. RESIN, SIMULATED PEARL, SIMULATED HORN, CRYSTALS, BUTTONS, BEADS. 4.7" X 3.3" (12CM X 8.5CM).

Chapter 1: LAUREL WREATH, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, GREECE. STERLING SILVER, GOLD-FILLED. 2.8" X 3.1" (7.2CM X 7.8CM).

Chapter 1: BYZANTINE SHIELD, 1970. ILIAS LALAOUNIS, GREECE. 18KT YELLOW GOLD, RUBY, SAPPHIRES, BAROQUE FRESHWATER CULTURED PEARLS. 2" X 2" (5CM X 5CM).

Chapter 1: CIRCLE OF PEARLS, CIRCA 1990. CRAFT, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL, GLASS CABOCHON, RHINESTONES, SIMULATED PEARLS. 2.2" X 2.2" (5.6CM X 5.6CM).

Chapter 1: BIRD WITH PEARL, CIRCA 1990. BETTINA VON WALHOF, USA. BLACK RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, BAROQUE SOUTH SEA CULTURED PEARL, RHINESTONES. 4.7" X 4.5" (12CM X 11.5CM).

Chapter 1: CASTLE, CIRCA 2007. LJ, TURKEY. PLATINUM, DIAMONDS, PINK CHALCEDONY, CORAL, TURQUOISE, EMERALDS, MOTHER-OF-PEARL, LAPIS LAZULI, RUBIES, SAPPHIRES. 1.5" X 2.0" (3.7CM X 5.2CM).

Chapter 1: INDIAN ELEPHANT, CIRCA 1960. D NICOLA, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES, GLASS CABOCHON. 2" X 2" (5.2CM X 5CM).

Chapter 1: RHINESTONE FLEUR-DE-LIS, CIRCA 1993. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 2.1" X 3.4" (5.3CM X 8.6CM).
Chapter 1: GOLD FLEUR-DE-LIS, CIRCA 1994. SOFIE, BOSNIA. 18KT YELLOW GOLD, STERLING SILVER, DIAMONDS, RUBIES, EMERALDS. 1.3" X 1.1" (3.3CM X 2.7CM).

Chapter 1: WESTERN SUN, 2003. FEDERICO JIMENEZ, USA/MEXICO. STERLING SILVER, HOWLITE, TURQUOISE. 3.7" X 3.1" (9.4CM X 3.1CM).

Chapter 1: EAGLE DANCER, CIRCA 1970. JERRY ROAN, USA. BOLO TIE MADE INTO A PIN. STERLING SILVER, TURQUOISE, CORAL. 6.9" X 5.2" (17.5CM X 13.2CM).

Chapter 1: MANDULA KORBEL’S THREE GOLD CIRCLES, CIRCA 1935. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, CZECHOSLOVAKIA. 18KT YELLOW GOLD. 1.7" X 0.9" (4.2CM X 2.2CM).

Chapter 1: TITO’S RING, CIRCA 1946. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, YUGOSLAVIA. 14KT WHITE GOLD, EMERALD, DIAMONDS. 0.8" X 0.6" (2.0CM X 1.5CM).

Chapter 1: MANDULA KORBEL’S SAPPHIRE, CIRCA 1945. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, CZECHOSLOVAKIA. 14KT PINK GOLD, SAPPHIRE, DIAMONDS. 2.6" X 1.3" (6.6CM X 3.4CM).


Chapter 1: CZECH ART NOUVEAU DESIGN, CIRCA 1995. DESIGNER UNKNOWN (VM), CZECH REPUBLIC. STERLING SILVER, GARNET CABOCHONS. 1.7" X 1.6" (4.4CM X 4.2CM).

Chapter 1: MUCHA-INSPIRED CORAL, CIRCA 2002. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, CZECH REPUBLIC. STERLING SILVER, CORAL, CRYSTALS. 2.2" X 2.1" (5.7CM X 5.3CM).
Chapter 2: BIRD, 2000. IRADJ MOINI, USA. RHODIUM-PLATED ENAMELED BASE METAL, CRYSTALS, GLASS CABOCHONS. 5.2" X 3.5" (13.3CM X 9.2CM).

Chapter 2: ALUMNAE LEAF, 1992. WELLESLEY COLLEGE, USA. 14KT YELLOW GOLD. 2.1" X 1.2" (5.3CM X 3CM).

Chapter 2: FRATERNITY PIN, 1957. THETA DELTA XI FRATERNITY, USA. 10KT YELLOW GOLD, DIAMONDS, ENAMEL, SEED PEARLS. 0.7" X 0.6" (1.7CM X 1.5CM).

Chapter 2: CIRCLE PIN, 1954. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. 14KT YELLOW GOLD, ENAMEL. 0.6" X 0.6" (2CM X 2CM).

Chapter 2: FEATHER, CIRCA 1940. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. 14KT YELLOW GOLD, RUBIES. 2.4" X 1.0" (6.1CM X 2.6CM).

Chapter 2: JADE DRAGON, CIRCA 1950. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. 14KT YELLOW GOLD, JADEITE. 2.6" X 0.7" (6.5CM X 1.9CM).

Chapter 2: RUBY FISH, 1959. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. 18KT YELLOW GOLD, RUBIES, EMERALD. 1.6" X 1.9" (4CM X 4.8CM).

Chapter 2: VIOLETS, CIRCA 1965. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. 14KT YELLOW GOLD, AMETHYST, DIAMONDS, NEPHRITE JADE. 1.75" X 1.25" (4.3CM X 3.2CM).

Chapter 2: BOHEMIAN GARNET SET, CIRCA 1860. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, BOHEMIA. 10KT ROSE GOLD, GARNETS. NECKLACE: 16.2" (41.1CM); BRACELET: 7.75" (20CM); PIN/PENDANT: 1.5" X 1.5" (3.8CM X 3.8CM); EARRINGS: 0.5" (1.3CM).
Chapter 2: KATIE’S HEART, 1972. KATIE ALBRIGHT, USA. CLAY. 2.8” X 2.4” (7.2CM X 6CM).

Chapter 2: POPPY, 1959. VERDURA, USA. 18KT YELLOW GOLD, PLATINUM, DIAMONDS, SAPPHIRE CABOCHONS. 2.6” X 1.7” (6.5CM X 4.4CM).

Chapter 2: MELI MELO, 2001. © CARTIER, FRANCE. 18KT WHITE GOLD, CHALCEDONY AND MOONSTONE CABOCHONS, PINK TOURMALINES, CORDIERITES, AQUAMARINES, DIAMONDS, MANDARIN GARNETS. 1.9” X 1.9” (4.8CM X 4.8CM).

Chapter 2: WRAPPED HEART, 1946. VERDURA, USA. 14KT YELLOW GOLD, PINK TOURMALINES. 2.5” X 2.2” (6.4CM X 5.6CM).

Chapter 2: SUFFRAGETTE PIN, CIRCA 1900. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED MESH, GLASS, RHINESTONES, SIMULATED PEARLS. 2.6” X 2.4” (6.5CM X 6.1CM).

Chapter 2: FRENCH URN, CIRCA 1900. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, FRANCE. SILVER, GLASS, CRYSTALS, SIMULATED LAPIS LAZULI, RESIN. 4.9” X 1.2” (12.5CM X 3CM).

Chapter 2: SHEAF OF WHEAT, 1987. TIFFANY & CO., USA. 18KT YELLOW GOLD, PLATINUM, DIAMONDS. 2.8” X 1.3” (7CM X 1.7CM).

Chapter 2: GRAPES, 1995. TINY JEWEL BOX, USA. 18KT YELLOW GOLD, SOUTH SEA CULTURED PEARLS, DIAMONDS. 3” X 2” (7.5CM X 5CM).

Chapter 2: BERLIN WALL, 1989. GISELA GEIGER, USA. SILVER, CONCRETE PIECE OF BERLIN WALL, SILVER WIRE. 2.9” X 2.8” (7.4CM X 7.2CM).

Chapter 2: G-8 PIN, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, MEXICO. STERLING SILVER. 2” X 1.8” (5CM X 4.5CM).
Chapter 2: SAILOR, CIRCA 1940. MONET, USA. ENAMELED WHITE BASE METAL. 1.3” X 2.4” (3.3CM X 6.1CM).

Chapter 2: MEMORIAL BOW, CIRCA 1945. TRIFARI, USA. RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES, ENAMEL. 2.4” X 2.2” (6CM X 5.5CM).

Chapter 2: AMERICAN FLAG, 1996. ANN HAND, USA. YELLOW GOLD AND RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 2.1” X 1.7” (5.3CM X 4.4CM).

Chapter 2: BRAVE HEART, 2001. SWAROVSKI, AUSTRIA. TRIBUTE TO THE VICTIMS OF 9/11. RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL, SWAROVSKI CRYSTALS. 0.8” X 0.7” (2.9CM X 1.8CM).

Chapter 2: AIDS RIBBON, CIRCA 2000. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW BASE METAL, CERAMIC. 0.9” X 1.2” (2.3CM X 3CM).

Chapter 2: HEART WITH DONKEY, 2004. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL. 1.6” X 1.4” (4CM X 3.5CM).

Chapter 2: HEART STICKPIN, CIRCA 1995. REPRODUCTION, THE METROPOLITAN MUSEUM OF ART, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL. 2.6” X 0.7” (6.6CM X 1.9CM).

Chapter 2: FRENCH RIBBON BOW, 1940. SILSON, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL. 2.8” X 1.6” (7.1CM X 4CM).

Chapter 2: PATRIOTIC BOW, 1999. © CAROLEE, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES, ENAMEL. 1.9” X 0.8” (4.7CM X 2CM).
Chapter 2: Statue of Liberty, Circa 1940. Designer unknown, USA. Bakelite, simulated mother-of-pearl. 2.5" x 1.5" (6.4cm x 3.8cm).

Chapter 2: Safety-Pin American Flag, Circa 1970. Designer unknown, USA. Brass, glass beads. 1.4" x 1.1" (3.5cm x 2cm).

Chapter 2: Small American Flag, Circa 1960. Designer unknown, USA. Yellow gold-plated base metal, rhinestones, wire. 1.7" x 1.1" (4.2cm x 2.8cm).

Chapter 2: Large Fight for Freedom Torch, Circa 1940. DMW, USA. Yellow gold-plated base metal, enamel. 1.7" x 3" (4.2cm x 7.5cm).

Chapter 2: WWII Ribbon, Circa 1940. Silson, USA. Yellow gold-plated base metal, enamel. 1.6" x 2.4" (4cm x 6.1cm).

Chapter 2: Stars and Stripes, Circa 1960. Ciner, USA. Yellow gold-plated enamel. 1.5" x 1.8" (3.7cm x 4.5cm).

Chapter 2: Small Fight for Freedom Torch, Circa 1940. DMW, USA. Yellow gold-plated base metal, enamel. 0.7" x 1.1" (1.8cm x 2.9cm).

Chapter 2: Uncle Sam Top Hat, Circa 1940. Trifari, USA. Enameld rhodium-plated base metal, rhinestones. 1.5" x 1" (3.8cm x 2.5cm).

Chapter 2: Uncle Sam Eagle, Circa 1940. Trifari, USA. Enameld rhodium-plated base metal, rhinestones. 2.9" x 1" (7.3cm x 2.5cm).
Chapter 2: CHINESE SHARD DRAGON, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, CHINA. SILVER, PORCELAIN. 2.9" X 2.2" (7.4CM X 5.7CM).

Chapter 2: COLORFUL BIRD, 1997. IRADJ MOINI, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, WIRE, EMERALD CABOCHON, RUBIES, AMETHYST, SIMULATED CORAL, COMPOSITE MALACHITE/AZURITE, CRYSTALS. 3.5" X 1.7" (9CM X 4.5CM).

Chapter 2: SENATOR EDMUND S. MUSKIE, 1972. © CARTIER, FRANCE/USA. 18KT YELLOW GOLD. 0.6" X 0.6" (1.5CM X 1.5CM).

Chapter 2: SAXOPHONE, 1993. KENNETH JAY LANE, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL. 3.1" X 1.2" (8CM X 3CM).

Chapter 2: SOLIDARITY, CIRCA 1981. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, POLAND. WHITE BASE METAL, ENAMEL. 0.8" X 0.4" (2.1CM X 1.1CM).

Chapter 2: RED DRESS FOR WOMEN’S HEART HEALTH, 2008. NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH, USA. RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, SWAROVSKI CRYSTALS. 1.3" X 0.7" (3.5CM X 2.2CM).

Chapter 2: BREAST CANCER RIBBON, 2006. © SUSAN G. KOMEN FOR THE CURE, USA. PINK-ENAMELED RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL. 1.1" X 0.8" (2.9CM X 2CM).

Chapter 2: TRUMAN CAMPAIGN BUTTON, 1948. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. STEEL. 1.3" X 1.3" (3.3CM X 3.3CM).

Chapter 2: KENNEDY CAMPAIGN BUTTON, 1960. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. STEEL. 1.6" X 1.6" (4.5CM X 4.5CM).
Chapter 2: JOHNSON CAMPAIGN BUTTON, 1964. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. TIN. 2.2" X 2.2" (5.6CM X 5.6CM).

Chapter 2: CARTER CAMPAIGN BUTTON, 1976. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. TIN. 1.6" X 1.6" (4.4CM X 4.4CM).

Chapter 2: CLINTON CAMPAIGN BUTTON, 1992. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. ALUMINUM. 2.2" X 2.2" (5.6CM X 5.6CM).

Chapter 2: OBAMA CAMPAIGN BUTTON, 2008. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. ALUMINUM. 2.2" X 2.2" (5.6CM X 5.6CM).

Chapter 2: PARTNERS IN PEACE, 1999. JANET LANGHART COHEN/ANN HAND, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, SIMULATED PEARLS, RHINESTONES. 4.7" X 2.9" (12CM X 7.3CM).

Chapter 2: JAMBIYA DAGGER, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, YEMEN. SILVER. 2" X 1" (5.2CM X 2.5CM).

Chapter 2: ROCKET-PROPELLED GRENADE LAUNCHER (RPG), 1988. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, PAKISTAN. SILVER, LAPIS LAZULI. 2.7" X 0.8" (7CM X 2CM).

Chapter 2: KNOT OF HERCULES, CIRCA 1970. ILIAS LALAOUNIS, GREECE. 18KT YELLOW GOLD. 2.8" X 0.6" (7.1CM X 1.6CM).

Chapter 2: LIBERTY EAGLE, 1992. ANN HAND, USA. 18KT YELLOW GOLD-PLATED STERLING SILVER, SIMULATED PEARL. 1.5" X 1.4" (4CM X 4CM).
Chapter 2: SECRETARY OF STATE DIAMOND EAGLE, CIRCA 1890. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, FRANCE. 18KT YELLOW GOLD, SILVER, DIAMONDS, RUBIES, DROP NATURAL SALTWATER PEARL. 3.5” X 1.1” (8.8CM X 2.9CM).

Chapter 2: BREAKING THE GLASS CEILING, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. DICHROIC AND PAINTED GLASS. 3” X 1.6” X 2.6” (7.5CM X 4CM X 6.7CM).

Chapter 3: ATLAS, 1991. HERVÉ VAN DER STRAETEN, FRANCE. GILDED, HAMMERED BRASS, GLASS CABOCHON. 1.9” X 5” (4.9CM X 12.7CM).

Chapter 3: FOREIGN MINISTER’S EAGLE, 1997. CHRISTINE HARKINS, USA. MADELEINE ALBRIGHT’S SIGNATURE ENGRAVED ON BACK. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL. 2.6” X 1.4” (6.5CM X 3.5CM).

Chapter 3: EAGLE CUFF LINKS, 1996. ANN HAND, USA. 18KT YELLOW GOLD-PLATED, STERLING SILVER, RUBIES. 0.7” X 0.6” (1.8CM X 1.5CM).

Chapter 3: SOLANA’S FLOWER, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, SPAIN. GOSSAMER CLOTH, WIRE. 5.1” X 3.5” (12.9CM X 9CM).

Chapter 3: PRIMAKOV’S SNOWY SCENE, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, RUSSIA. RHODIUM-PLATED YELLOW BASE METAL, HAND-PAINTED MOTHER-OF-PEARL. 2” X 1.5” (5.2CM X 3.8CM).

Chapter 3: VÉDRINE’S FRENCH DESIGN, 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, FRANCE. RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, WIRE, CRYSTALS. 2” X 2” (5CM X 5CM).

Chapter 3: AXWORTHY’S MAPLE LEAF, 1997. ANN HAND, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL. 1.4” X 1.7” (3.6CM X 4.3CM).
Chapter 3: COOK'S LION, CIRCA 1970. JUDITH LEIBER, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED WHITE BASE METAL. 2.2" X 2.6" (5.7CM X 6.5CM).

Chapter 3: BUTTERFLY, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, PALESTINIAN TERRITORIES. 18KT WHITE GOLD, DIAMONDS. 3.6" X 2.8" (9.2CM X 7CM).

Chapter 3: PEACE DOVE, CIRCA 1997. CÉCILE ET JEANNE, FRANCE. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL. 2.8" X 1.7" (7.2CM X 4.3CM).

Chapter 3: NECKLACE OF DOVES, CIRCA 1997. CÉCILE ET JEANNE, FRANCE. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, LAPIS LAZULI. 25.2" (64CM).

Chapter 3: CRAB, CIRCA 1999. VERTIGE, FRANCE. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, COPPER, CRYSTALS, RESIN. 2.1" X 1.8" (5.4CM X 4.6CM).

Chapter 3: BLACK AND WHITE TURTLE, 1990. LEA STEIN, FRANCE. CELLULOSE ACETATE LAMINATE, WHITE BASE METAL. 3.1" X 1.9" (8CM X 4.8CM).

Chapter 3: SMALL PURPLE, BLACK, AND GOLD TURTLE, CIRCA 1980. ISABEL CANOVAS, FRANCE. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, RESIN. 2.2" X 1.5" (5.7CM X 1.5CM).

Chapter 3: LARGE PURPLE, BLACK, AND GOLD TURTLE, CIRCA 1980. ISABEL CANOVAS, FRANCE. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, RESIN. 3.8" X 2.5" (9.6CM X 1.8CM).

Chapter 3: BLACK AND BROWN RHINESTONE TURTLES, 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL, RHINESTONES. 3.8" X 3.1" (9.7CM X 7.9CM).
Chapter 3:  BLUE RHINESTONE TURTLE, CIRCA 1998. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL, RHINESTONES. 5” X 1.1” (3.8CM X 2.8CM).

Chapter 3:  RED TURTLE, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. HAMMERED RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, RESIN. 2.4” X 1.9” (6CM X 4.8CM).

Chapter 3:  GOLD AND LAPIS TURTLE, CIRCA 1970. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. 18KT YELLOW GOLD, LAPIS LAZULI, RUBIES. 1.5” X 1.1” (3.8CM X 2.8CM).

Chapter 3:  RED BALLOON, 1992. SWAROVSKI, AUSTRIA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL, SWAROVSKI CRYSTALS. 1.7” X 2.8” (4.2CM X 7.1CM).

Chapter 3:  GREEN BALLOON, 1992. SWAROVSKI, AUSTRIA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL, SWAROVSKI CRYSTALS. 1.7” X 2.6” (4.2CM X 6.5CM).

Chapter 3:  WISE OWL, 1995. LEA STEIN, FRANCE. SIMULATED TORTOISE, CELLULOSE ACETATE LAMINATE. 1.9” X 2.4” (4.8CM X 6CM).

Chapter 3:  FISH, CIRCA 1940. NETTIE ROSENSTEIN, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED SILVER, GLASS CABOCHONS, CHAIN LINK. 5.9” X 1.7” (15CM X 4.4CM).

Chapter 3:  SMALL LADYBUG, CIRCA 1995. REPRODUCTION, THE METROPOLITAN MUSEUM OF ART, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED STERLING SILVER, ENAMEL, RHINESTONES. 1.1” X 1.3” (2.8CM X 3.2CM).

Chapter 3:  LARGE LADYBUG, CIRCA 1995. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL, RHINESTONES 1.9” X 1.6”
Chapter 3: SWANS, 1998. SWAROVSKI, AUSTRIA. RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, SWAROVSKI CRYSTALS. LARGE SWAN: 1.8” X 1.6” (4.5CM X 4CM); SMALL SWAN: 1.3” X 1.1” (3.2CM X 2.7CM).

Chapter 3: ANGEL, CIRCA 1998. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL. 2.4” X 3” (6CM X 7.5CM).

Chapter 3: 9/11 FLAG, 2001. © DAVID YURMAN, USA. 18KT WHITE GOLD, DIAMONDS. 0.7” X 0.9” (1.9CM X 2.2CM).

Chapter 3: SUNBURST, 1987. HERVÉ VAN DER STRAETEN, FRANCE. GILDED BRASS. 3.1” X 3” (7.9CM X 7.7CM).

Chapter 3: BEE, CIRCA 1980. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. 14KT PINK GOLD, SILVER, ENAMEL, DIAMONDS, GARNETS. 2.4” X 1.9” (6CM X 4.9CM).

Chapter 3: MONTICELLO FLAG, CIRCA 1980. BUTLER & WILSON, UNITED KINGDOM. RHODIUM-PLATED YELLOW BASE METAL, CRYSTALS. 5.9” X 2.9” (15CM X 7.2CM).

Chapter 3: COWGIRL HAT, CIRCA 1999. © ULTRA CRAFT, USA. SILVER-TONE BASE METAL, GOLD-TONE WIRE. 4.2” X 2” (10.7CM X 5.2CM) AND 4.3” (11CM) FROM NECK STRAP TO TOP OF HAT.

Chapter 3: SPIDER, CIRCA 1995. REPRODUCTION, THE METROPOLITAN MUSEUM OF ART, USA. STERLING SILVER. 1.3” X 0.9” (3.4CM X 2.3CM).
Chapter 3: SPIDER AND HER WEB, CIRCA 1995. REPRODUCTION, THE METROPOLITAN MUSEUM OF ART, USA. STERLING SILVER. 2.4" X 2.4" (6.1CM X 6.1 CM).

Chapter 3: FLOWER WITH FOUR BEES, CIRCA 1940. JOSEFF OF HOLLYWOOD, USA. FLOWER: YELLOW BASE METAL, PLASTIC CABOCHON. 2.8" X 2.8" (7CM X 7CM). BEES: YELLOW BASE METAL. 1.2" X 1.1" (3.1CM X 2.9CM).

Chapter 3: MEDIUM ZEBRA, CIRCA 1960. CINER, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL, RHINESTONES. 2.7" X 2" (6.8CM X 5CM).

Chapter 3: LARGE ZEBRA, CIRCA 1997. KUO, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL, RHINESTONES. 4.4" X 1.2" (11.3CM X 3CM).

Chapter 3: SMALL ZEBRA, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED METAL, RHINESTONES, ENAMEL. 1.6" X 1.4" (4CM X 3.6CM).

Chapter 3: FOXY LADY, CIRCA 1970. LEA STEIN, FRANCE. CELLULOSE ACETATE LAMINATE. 3.7" X 2.2" (9.4CM X 5.6CM).

Chapter 3: AMERICAN FLAG, 2000. ROBERT SORRELL, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, GLASS BEAD, RHINESTONES. 6.3" X 2.8" (16CM X 7CM).

Chapter 3: HEAR NO EVIL, SPEAK NO EVIL, SEE NO EVIL, 2000. IRADJ MOINI, USA. TAGUA NUTS, YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, SIMULATED PEARLS, ANTIQUE GERMAN GLASS CABOCHONS, CRYSTALS. 1.2" X 2.1" (3CM X 5.3CM).

Chapter 3: SPACE SHUTTLE, 1998. RC2, CORP./ERTL, CO., USA. TOY MODEL MADE INTO A PIN. WHITE BASE METAL. 2.8" X 1.9" (7.2CM X 4.9CM).
Chapter 3: INTERCEPTOR MISSILE, 1998. LISA VERSHBOW, USA. ANODIZED ALUMINUM. 4.1" X 1.7" (10.5CM X 4.2CM).

Chapter 3: SHOOTING STAR, CIRCA 2000. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. 10KT YELLOW GOLD, SILVER, SYNTHETIC SAPPHIRE. 3.1" X 1.2" (7.8CM X 3CM).

Chapter 3: SAPPHIRE CRESCENT MOON, CIRCA 1880. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, AUSTRIA-HUNGARY. TIARA ELEMENT MADE INTO A PIN. 18KT YELLOW GOLD, SILVER, SAPPHIRES, DIAMONDS. 1.6" X 1.4" (4CM X 3.5CM).

Chapter 3: RHINESTONE SHOOTING STAR, CIRCA 2000. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. WHITE BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 1.9" X 0.8" (4.9CM X 2CM).

Chapter 3: STAR TRAIL, CIRCA 2002. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, MEXICO. STERLING SILVER AND YELLOW BASE METAL. 5.4" X 1.8" (13.7CM X 4.5CM).

Chapter 3: SORCERER, CIRCA 2000. © Z. ALANDIA, USA. STERLING SILVER, FIBER-OPTIC GLASS. 3" X 1" (7.7CM X 2.6CM).

Chapter 3: DIAMOND STAR AND MOON, CIRCA 2005. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. 18KT WHITE GOLD, DIAMONDS. STAR: 1.1" X 1.1" (2.7CM X 2.7CM); MOON: 0.8" X 0.1" (2CM X 0.3CM).

Chapter 3: BLACK SUN, CIRCA 2000. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED WHITE BASE METAL, ENAMEL, RHINESTONES. 2.4" X 2.4" (6.2CM X 6.2CM).

Chapter 3: MILLENNIUM SHOOTING STAR, 2000. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD AND RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 2.4" X 1.3" (6CM X 3.4CM).

Chapter 3: UFO, CIRCA 1995. © JONETTE JEWELRY, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL. 2.1" X 2.5" (5.4CM X 6.4CM).
Chapter 3: **BUG**, 1997. IRADI MOINI, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, CHALCEDONY, AMETHYST, CRYSTALS, GLASS. 4" X 3.8" (10CM X 9.7CM).

Chapter 4: **PANTHER**, 2003. KATEL RIOU © CARTIER, FRANCE. 18KT WHITE GOLD, DIAMONDS, EMERALDS, DETACHABLE LEASH. PANTHER: 2.6" X 1.1" (6.5CM X 2.7CM); WITH LEASH: 2.6" X 1.8" (6.5CM X 4.6CM).

Chapter 4: **TRAILING EAGLE**, CIRCA 1963. LES BERNARD, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, RESIN CABOCHON, GOLD AND SILVER-TONE CHAINS. 6.3" X 2.8" (16CM X 7CM).

Chapter 4: **FOUNTAIN PEN**, 1998. © CAROLEE, USA. RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 3.3" X 0.3" (8.5CM X 0.9CM).

Chapter 4: **MADAM SECRETARY**, 2003. DESIGNER UNKNOWN (GF1W), USA. STERLING SILVER. 2" X 1.3" (5CM X 3.4CM).

Chapter 4: **HARRY S. TRUMAN**, 2007. HARRY S. TRUMAN PRESIDENTIAL LIBRARY, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL. 2" X 1.1" (5.1CM X 2.7CM).

Chapter 4: **FLOWER WITH PEARL**, 2008. RUSSELL TRUSSO, USA. 18KT GOLD, YELLOW-GOLD WIRE, QUARTZ CRYSTAL, SOUTH SEA CULTURED PEARL, DIAMONDS. 2.2" X 2.2" (5.7CM X 5.7CM).

Chapter 4: **HOPE**, 2008. ANN HAND, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL. 1.4" X 0.5" (3.4CM X 1.2CM).

Chapter 4: **BARACK OBAMA INAUGURATION**, 2009. ANN HAND, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL, RHINESTONES. 2" X 2" (5CM X 5CM).
Chapter 4: KANGAROO, 1997. ST. JOHN KNITS, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL, CRYSTALS. 1.9" X 2.1" (4.8CM X 5.3CM).

Chapter 4: HIPPO (WITH FRIEND), 1997. ST. JOHN KNITS, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL, CRYSTALS. 1.9" X 1.4" (4.9CM X 3.6CM).

Chapter 4: MEDIUM DESERT DWELLING, CIRCA 1995. DESIGNER UNKNOWN (ARABIC MARKINGS), ACQUIRED IN EGYPT. SILVER, BRASS, COPPER. 1" X 1" (2.5CM X 2.5CM).

Chapter 4: SMALL DESERT DWELLING, CIRCA 1995. DESIGNER UNKNOWN (ARABIC MARKINGS), ACQUIRED IN EGYPT. SILVER, BRASS, COPPER. 1.1" X 0.8" (2.9CM X 2.1CM).

Chapter 4: LARGE DESERT DWELLING WITH TREE, CIRCA 1995. DESIGNER UNKNOWN (ARABIC MARKINGS), ACQUIRED IN EGYPT. SILVER, BRASS, COPPER, CORAL CABOCHON. 1.5" X 1.5" (3.8CM X 3.7CM).

Chapter 4: GOLDEN PALM TREE, CIRCA 1997. WRA, UNITED KINGDOM; ACQUIRED IN SAUDI ARABIA. 18KT YELLOW GOLD, DIAMONDS. 2.4" X 1.1" (6CM X 2.7CM).

Chapter 4: DRAGON AND SWORD, CIRCA 2004. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN TURKEY. 14KT YELLOW AND WHITE GOLD, SILVER, GARNET CABOCHONS, BAROQUE FRESHWATER CULTURED PEARLS, DIAMONDS, EMERALDS. 4.8" X 0.9" (12CM X 2.3CM).

Chapter 4: SEA HORSE, 2008. SWAROVSKI, AUSTRIA. RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, SWAROVSKI CRYSTALS. 3.1" X 1.2" (7.9CM X 3.1CM).

Chapter 4: CORAL REEF, CIRCA 2001. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. GOLD-TONE BASE METAL, FRESHWATER CULTURED PEARLS, RHINESTONES. 3.4"
Chapter 4: COLORFUL FISH, 2004. SWAROVSKI, AUSTRIA. RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, SWAROVSKI CRYSTALS. 2" X 1.5" (5.2CM X 3.7CM).

Chapter 4: CRAYFISH, CIRCA 2003. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN INDIA. 18KT YELLOW GOLD, RUBIES, DIAMONDS. 2.6" X 1.4" (6.5CM X 3.6CM).

Chapter 4: STARFISH, 2006. JOSÉ & MARÍA BARRERA, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, SIMULATED TURQUOISE BEADS, 3.5" X 3.5" (9CM X 9CM).

Chapter 4: SAND DOLLAR, 2006. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN JORDAN. HAMMERED SILVER. 2.4" X 2.1" (6CM X 5.3CM).

Chapter 4: SEA SPONGE, CIRCA 1995. R. D ROSA, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED STERLING SILVER, RHINESTONES. 1.6" X 1.6" (4CM X 4CM).

Chapter 4: RAINBOW FISH, 2004. SWAROVSKI, AUSTRIA. RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, SWAROVSKI CRYSTALS. 1.8" X 1.5" (4.4CM X 3.8CM).

Chapter 4: SEA CREATURE, CIRCA 1997. CÉCILE ET JEANNE, FRANCE. RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, CRYSTALS, ENAMEL. 2.8" X 2.8" (7CM X 7CM).

Chapter 4: LOBSTER, CIRCA 2002. LANDAU, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 5.2" X 5" (13.2CM X 12.6CM).

Chapter 4: SEA ANEMONE, 1998. ANN HAND, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 3" X 3" (7.6CM X 7.6CM).
Chapter 4: OCTOPUS ON CORAL, 2004. KENNETH JAY LANE, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES, SIMULATED CORAL. 2.3” X 1.6” (5.8CM X 4CM).

Chapter 4: CHAMBERED NAUTILUS, 2006. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN JORDAN. HAMMERED SILVER. 2.5” X 2.1” (6.4CM X 5.3CM).

Chapter 4: LEOPARD, CIRCA 1996. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD AND RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES, HINGES, ARTICULATED BODY. 7.5” X 1.3” (19CM X 3.2CM).

Chapter 4: PANDA BEAR, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN HONG KONG. YELLOW GOLD AND RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL, RHINESTONES. 2.2” X 2.6” (8CM X 5.4CM).

Chapter 4: HORSE, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL, RHINESTONES. 2.2” X 2.6” (5.5CM X 6.5CM).

Chapter 4: LEOPARD HEAD, CIRCA 1960. CINER, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL, ONYX CABOCHONS, RHINESTONES. 2.2” X 1.6” (5.6CM X 4CM).

Chapter 4: BLACK PANTHER, CIRCA 2002. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. ENAMELED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES, ARTICULATED TAIL. 3.1” X 1.6” (8CM X 4CM).

Chapter 4: DALMATIAN, CIRCA 1996. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD AND RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES, ENAMEL. 2” X 1.3” (5CM X 3.4CM).
Chapter 4: YEAR OF THE PIG, 2007. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL, RHINESTONES. 1.9" X 1" (4.7CM X 2.5CM).

Chapter 4: TEDDY BEAR, CIRCA 1999. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD AND RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL, RHINESTONES, ARTICULATED ARMS AND LEGS. 1.6" X 0.8" (4.1CM X 2.1CM).

Chapter 4: CELEBRATION OF FREEDOM, 1998. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW AND WHITE BASE METALS, ENAMEL, SIMULATED SEED PEARLS. 2.9" X 2.3" (7.3CM X 5.8CM).

Chapter 4: SEAL OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, 1997. BILL CLINTON’S SIGNATURE ENGRAVED ON BACK. THE WHITE HOUSE, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL. 1.2" X 1.2" (3CM X 3CM).

Chapter 4: ODE TO U.S. ARMED FORCES, 1998. MINA LYLES, USA. YELLOW GOLD AND RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, CUBIC ZIRCONIA, GLASS, BUTTONS, ENAMEL. 2.5" X 2.8" (6.3CM X 7CM).

Chapter 4: SILVER TRUMPET, CIRCA 1994. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. SILVER. 1.7" X 0.6" (4.4CM X 1.5CM).

Chapter 4: SILVER FRENCH HORN, CIRCA 1994. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. SILVER. 2.4" X 1.2" (6.1CM X 3CM).

Chapter 4: SILVER TROMBONE, CIRCA 1994. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. SILVER. 1.6" X 0.6" (4.1CM X 1.4CM).

Chapter 4: AMBER CELLO, CIRCA 1996. KEITH LIPERT GALLERY, USA. STERLING SILVER, AMBER. 2.8" X 1" (7.2CM X 2.5CM).
Chapter 4: RHINESTONE GUITAR, CIRCA 1994. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 2.5” X 0.9” (6.4CM X 2.4CM).

Chapter 4: AMBER ELECTRIC GUITAR, CIRCA 1996. KEITH LIPERT GALLERY, USA. STERLING SILVER, AMBER. 2.8” X 1” (7.2CM X 2.5CM).

Chapter 4: AMBER TRUMPET, CIRCA 1996. KEITH LIPERT GALLERY, USA. STERLING SILVER, AMBER. 2.1” X 0.7” (5.4CM X 1.7CM).

Chapter 4: AMBER SAXOPHONE, CIRCA 1996. KEITH LIPERT GALLERY, USA. STERLING SILVER, AMBER. 2” X 0.7” (5CM X 1.8CM).

Chapter 4: SILVER SAXOPHONE, CIRCA 1994. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. SILVER. 1.7” X 0.6” (4.4CM X 1.5CM).

Chapter 4: AMBER PIANO, CIRCA 1996. KEITH LIPERT GALLERY, USA. STERLING SILVER, AMBER. 1.2” X 0.8” (3.1CM X 2.1CM).

Chapter 4: SANTA FE EAGLE, 2007. CAROL SARKISIAN, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BRASS, 23KT GOLD AND SILVER LEAF, CULTURED PEARLS, RHINESTONES, GLASS BEADS. 3.3” X 1.8” (8.3CM X 4.6CM).

Chapter 4: TURQUOISE SANTA FE EAGLE, 2008. CAROL SARKISIAN, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BRASS, 23KT GOLD AND SILVER LEAF, TURQUOISE, CULTURED PEARLS, CORAL, RHINESTONES, GLASS BEADS. 3.3” X 1.8” (8.3CM X 4.6CM).
Chapter 4: EAGLE, CIRCA 1940. JOSEFF OF HOLLYWOOD, USA. YELLOW BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 3.2" X 1.8" (8.2CM X 4.5CM).

Chapter 4: LEAF, 1998. HELEN SHIRK, USA. STERLING SILVER, 14KT YELLOW GOLD. 4" X 1.4" (10.2CM X 3.5CM).

Chapter 4: LIBERTY, 1997. GIJS BAKKER, NETHERLANDS. STERLING SILVER, STAINLESS-STEEL WATCHES. 4.2" X 3.6" (10.7CM X 9.2CM).

Chapter 4: SNAKE, 2005. KENNETH JAY LANE, USA. RHODIUM AND BLACK ENAMEL–FINISHED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 4.4" X 3.3" (11.2CM X 8.3CM).

Chapter 4: LION, 1968. KENNETH JAY LANE, USA. YELLOW GOLD–PLATED BASE METAL, GLASS CABOCHONS, RHINESTONES. 2.1" X 3.1" (5.4CM X 8CM).

Chapter 4: CHICK, 1994. © TIFFANY & CO., USA/FRANCE. 20KT YELLOW GOLD, 18KT WHITE GOLD, RUBIES, DIAMOND, EMERALDS. 1.3" X 0.7" (3.3CM X 1.8CM).

Chapter 4: CHICK ON BRANCH, 1994. © TIFFANY & CO., USA/ITALY. 18KT YELLOW AND WHITE GOLD, DIAMONDS, SAPPHIRES. 1" X 0.8" (2.5CM X 2CM).

Chapter 4: SHAMAN BEAR, 2008. CAROLYN MORRIS BACH, USA. 18KT YELLOW GOLD WITH 22KT GOLD PLATING, SILVER, FOSSILIZED IVORY, COPPER. 3.1" X 2.6" (8CM X 6.5CM).

Chapter 4: JEWELED DRAGONFLY, CIRCA 1996. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN CROATIA. YELLOW GOLD–PLATED BASE METAL, CRYSTALS. 2.6" X 3.4" (6.5CM X 8.7CM).
Chapter 4: **BLUE DRAGONFLY, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN FRANCE. BLACK LACQUERED BASE METAL, ENAMEL, CRYSTALS. 2" X 1.5" (5CM X 3.8CM).**

Chapter 4: **EN TREMBLANT DRAGONFLY WITH PEARL, CIRCA 1997. HEIDI DAUS, USA. OXIDIZED YELLOW BASE METAL, SIMULATED PEARL, CRYSTALS. 2.5" X 3.7" (6.3CM X 9.5CM).**

Chapter 4: **YELLOW DRAGONFLY, 2000. SWAROVSKI, AUSTRIA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED STERLING SILVER, SWAROVSKI CRYSTALS. 3.4" X 2.5" (8.7CM X 6.3CM).**

Chapter 4: **SILVER MOSQUITO, CIRCA 1993. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. SILVER, ARTICULATED WINGS AND LEGS. 4.7" X 5.5" (12CM X 14CM).**

Chapter 4: **SILVER DRAGONFLY, CIRCA 1998. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN ISRAEL. SILVER. 2.8" X 2" (7CM X 5CM).**

Chapter 4: **TURQUOISE ENAMEL DRAGONFLY, CIRCA 1960. CINER, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED STERLING SILVER, ARTICULATED WINGS, GARNETS, CUBIC ZIRCONIA, ENAMEL. 4.4" X 2.6" (11.2CM X 6.5CM).**

Chapter 4: **BLACK SPIDER, CIRCA 2002. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, GLASS. 3.2" X 3.9" (8.2CM X 10CM).**

Chapter 4: **RED SPIDER, CIRCA 2000. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN BOTSWANA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL. 2.6" X 2.2" (6.5CM X 5.6CM).**
Chapter 4: **PURPLE SPIDER, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD–PLATED BASE METAL, GLASS. 2" X 2.1" (5CM X 5.3CM).**

![Purple Spider](image)

Chapter 4: **SPIDER WALIA STICKPIN, 1995. © JEWELRY 10, USA. YELLOW GOLD–PLATED BASE METAL, CERAMIC, GLASS. 3" X 3.7" (7.7CM X 9.3CM).**

![Spider Walia Stickpin](image)

Chapter 4: **GREEN AND SILVER SPIDER, CIRCA 1997. E. SPENCE, USA. STERLING SILVER, MALACHITE CABOCHON. 3.7" X 3.2" (9.4CM X 8.2CM).**

![Green and Silver Spider](image)

Chapter 4: **PEARL SPIDER, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. STERLING SILVER, RHINESTONES, MARCASITE, SIMULATED PEARLS. 4.1" X 1.5" (10.5CM X 4CM).**

![Pearl Spider](image)

Chapter 4: **GREEN GLASS SPIDER, CIRCA 2000. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN BOTSWANA. YELLOW GOLD–PLATED BASE METAL, GLASS. 1.6" X 2.4" (2.4CM X 1.4CM).**

![Green Glass Spider](image)

Chapter 4: **SPIDERWEB WITH SPIDER, CIRCA 1994. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD–PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 2.3" X 2.4" (5.8CM X 6CM).**

![Spiderweb with Spider](image)

Chapter 4: **GREEN CRYSTAL FROG, 1999. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN FRANCE. OXIDIZED BASE METAL, CRYSTALS. 2.5" X 2.2" (6.4CM X 5.6CM).**

![Green Crystal Frog](image)

Chapter 4: **CLOISONNÉ FROG, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN CHINA. YELLOW GOLD–TONE BASE METAL, ENAMELED CLOISONNÉ, RHINESTONES. 2.6" X 2.1" (6.7CM X 5.4CM).**

![Cloisonné Frog](image)

Chapter 4: **CROUCHING GREEN AND GOLD FROG, 1970. KENNETH JAY LANE, USA. YELLOW GOLD–PLATED BASE METAL. 1.9" X 1.6" (4.8CM X 4.1CM).**

![Crouching Green and Gold Frog](image)
Chapter 4: **ROSE DE NOËL, 1970. VAN CLEEF & ARPELS, FRANCE. 18KT YELLOW GOLD, DIAMONDS, WHITE MOTHER-OF-PEARL. 2.2” X 2.2” (5.5CM X 5.5CM).**

Chapter 4: **MOONSTONE DANDELION PUFF, CIRCA 1930. MAUBOUSSIN, FRANCE. 18KT YELLOW AND WHITE GOLD, PLATINUM, MOONSTONES, DIAMONDS, DEMANTOIDS. 3.1” X 2” (8CM X 5CM).**

Chapter 4: **VEGETABLE MAN, CIRCA 2006. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN BELGIUM. RESIN, CRYSTAL. 4.3” X 2.8” (11CM X 7.2CM).**

Chapter 4: **SPRING ONION, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. PATINATED BASE METAL, FRESHWATER CULTURED PEARL. 4.3” X 2.4” (10.8CM X 6.1CM).**

Chapter 4: **APPLE, CIRCA 2006. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN BELGIUM. RESIN. 3” X 1.9” (7.5CM X 4.8CM).**

Chapter 4: **GOLD LEAF WITH RED BERRIES, CIRCA 1997. CÉCILE ET JEANNE, FRANCE. YELLOW GOLD–PLATED BASE METAL, GLASS BEADS. 2.2” X 2” (5.7CM X 5CM).**

Chapter 4: **TWO CHERRIES, CIRCA 1995. MV, USA. PATINATED GOLD–TONE BASE METAL, DYED CRYPTOCRYSTALLINE QUARTZ, ARTICULATED CHERRIES. 2” X 2.6” (5CM X 6.7CM).**

Chapter 4: **RED GRAPES, CIRCA 1995. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. GOLD-TONE BASE METAL, GLASS. 2.4” X 2.8” (6CM X 7CM).**
Chapter 4: POMEGRANATE, CIRCA 2006. CILÇA, ACQUIRED IN BELGIUM. RESIN ON WIRE FRAME. 4.1" X 1.2" (10.5CM X 3CM).

Chapter 4: THREE CHERRIES, CIRCA 1996. I. CHASE, USA. RESIN, CORD. 3.1" X 1.6" (8CM X 4.1CM).

Chapter 4: BLACK CHERRIES, CIRCA 2006. CILÇA, ACQUIRED IN BELGIUM. RESIN. 3.1" X 2.4" (8CM X 6CM).

Chapter 4: CLUSTER OF GRAPES, CIRCA 1990. BETTINA VON WALHOF, USA. OXIDIZED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES, ARTICULATED LEAVES. 5.3" X 4.3" (13.5CM X 11CM).

Chapter 4: MUSHROOMS, 2000. © MARY EHLERS, USA. 18KT YELLOW-GOLD PLATING ON COIN SILVER, DIAMOND. 2.4" X 1.3" (6.1CM X 3.2CM).

Chapter 4: HIGH-HEELED SHOE, CIRCA 2002. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL, RHINESTONES. 4.3" X 2" (11CM X 5CM).

Chapter 4: SAILING SHIPS, CIRCA 2004. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, FRANCE. 18KT YELLOW GOLD, PLATINUM, DIAMONDS, ENAMEL. BLUE: 1.3" X 1.7" (3.3CM X 4.3CM); ORANGE: 1.1" X 1.7" (2.8CM X 4.2CM); GREEN: 1.7" X 1" (4.2CM X 2.6CM).

Chapter 4: BEJEWELED MICKEY, 1989. MICKEY MOUSE © DISNEY ENTERPRISES, INC., USA. LEFT FOOT MARKED DISNEY; RIGHT FOOT MARKED CEBULLY, GERMANY. PAINTED RUBBER WITH SIMULATED PEARL, RHINESTONES, BELLS. 3.9" X 3" (10CM X 7.5CM).

Chapter 4: GRASSHOPPER, 2001. LANDAU, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, GLASS CABOCHONS, RHINESTONES, ENAMEL. 3.3" X 1.2" (8.3CM X 3CM).
Chapter 4: CICADA, 1995. IRADJ MOINI, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, OBSIDIAN, ONYX, DIOPSIDE CABOCHONS, TURQUOISE, MOTHER-OF-PEARL, GLASS CABOCHONS. 2.6" X 2" (6.7CM X 5CM).

Chapter 4: FLY WITH PEARL, 1997. IRADJ MOINI, USA. RHODIUM-PLATED BASE METAL, BAROQUE SIMULATED PEARL, GLASS CABOCHONS, CRYSTALS. 2.1" X 1.7" (5.3CM X 4.3CM).

Chapter 4: GREEN LADYBUG, CIRCA 1970. © SANDOR CO., USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, ENAMEL. 1.2" X 0.9" (3CM X 2.3CM).

Chapter 4: TWO BLUE HORSEFLIES, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN FRANCE. BLACKENED AND OXIDIZED-COPPERTONE BASE METAL, ENAMEL, CRYSTALS. 1.9" X 0.7" (4.8CM X 1.8CM).

Chapter 4: GREEN, PURPLE, AND BLUE BEETLE, 1970. KENNETH JAY LANE, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED WHITE BASE METAL, GLASS. 1.9" X 1.6" (4.9CM X 4.1CM).

Chapter 4: CO2, 2008. STEFANIE RAHMSTORF, GERMANY. STAMPED WITH A SPECIFICALLY-NUMBERED TON OF CO2 TO BE RETIRED. STERLING SILVER, SALTWATER CULTURED PEARLS. 1.3" X 1.3" (3.2CM X 3.2CM).

Chapter 4: POLAR BEAR, 2000. LEA STEIN, FRANCE. CELLULOSE ACETATE LAMINATE. 3" X 1.9" (7.5CM X 4.8CM).

Chapter 4: KATRINA PIN, 1994. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. 18KT WHITE GOLD, AMETHYSTS, DIAMONDS. 2.8" X 1.8" (7CM X 4.5CM).
Chapter 4: WRAPPING UP BOW, CIRCA 1990. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, RUSSIA. 14KT YELLOW, PINK, AND WHITE GOLD; CRYSTALS. 2" X 0.7" (5.1CM X 1.7CM).

Chapter 4: BLACK-EYED SUSAN, CIRCA 1960. © SANDOR, USA. ENAMELED GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL. 3.2" X 1.5" (8CM X 3.7CM).

Chapter 4: DANDELION DIAMOND PUFF, 2006. © McTEIGUE & McCLELLAND, USA. 18KT WHITE AND YELLOW GOLD, DIAMONDS, ENAMEL. 4.4" X 1.3" (11.3CM X 3.3CM).

Chapter 4: DANDELION, 2000. © McTEIGUE & McCLELLAND, USA. 18KT YELLOW GOLD, ENAMEL. 4.4" X 1.3" (11.3CM X 3.3CM).

Chapter 4: LILY OF THE VALLEY, CIRCA 2006. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN BELGIUM. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, GLASS, CRYSTALS, SIMULATED PEARLS. 0.4" X 1.3" (1.1CM X 3.4CM).

Chapter 4: TULIP, CIRCA 2006. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN THE NETHERLANDS. ENAMEL ON COPPER. 4.4" X 1.1" (11.3CM X 2.8CM).

Chapter 4: SEED PEARL FLOWER, CIRCA 1995. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. ANODIZED BASE METAL, FRESHWATER CULTURED PEARLS. 3.2" X 1.5" (8.1CM X 3.9CM).

Chapter 4: SUNFLOWER, 1995. © CAROLEE, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED BASE METAL, RHINESTONES. 3.7" X 1.8" (9.5CM X 4.6CM).

Chapter 4: PEARL FLOWERS, CIRCA 1995. JJ, USA. STERLING SILVER, MARCASITE, SIMULATED PEARLS. 2" X 2" (5.1CM X 5.1CM).
Chapter 4: GOLD AND AQUA FLOWER, CIRCA 1950. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, USA. YELLOW GOLD-PLATED STERLING SILVER, RHINESTONES. 3.9" X 1.8" (10CM X 4.5CM).

Backmatter: ARK WITH DOVES, 2000. LANGANI/KEITH LIPERT GALLERY, GERMANY/USA. GOLD-TONE BASE METAL, MOTHER-OF-PEARL, RESIN. 3.5" X 2.2" (8.8CM X 2.2CM).

Backmatter: ANTS, CIRCA 1997. DESIGNER UNKNOWN, ACQUIRED IN ZIMBABWE. SILVER, CERAMIC. 0.6" X 0.4" (1.6CM X 1CM).
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*Ants, designer unknown.*
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